International Journal of
INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING
ISSN:2147-6799 www.ijisae.org Original Research Paper

Convergence of Machine Learning and IoT: Towards Intelligent Sensing and Decision-Making

[1]Dr. Sanjeev Gour, [2]Dr. Abdul Razzak Khan Qureshi, [3]Dr. Jitendra Choudhary, [4]Dr. Ruby Bhatt, [5]Dr. Hemant Pal, [6]Prof. Govinda Patil

Submitted: 05/09/2023 **Revised:** 21/10/2023 **Accepted:** 08/11/2023

Abstract: In today's complex business landscape, organizations contend with an avalanche of data. Yet, the true value lies in the ability to transform this extensive data repository into insightful revelations that illuminate more strategic corporate maneuvers. This is precisely where the practice of IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making emerges. By harnessing the potential of data and leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) capabilities, enterprises can seize the opportunity for well-informed selections that eventually lead to enhanced outcomes. This paper explores the concept of Machine Learning and IoT-powered decision-making and scrutinizes the pivotal role of AI in shaping these astute business resolutions.

Keywords*: artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, predictive analytics, prescriptive analytics, data mining, big data, IoT and Machine Leaning based decision making*

1. Introduction

IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making has materialized as a pivotal shift in how establishments navigate the intricate landscape of contemporary business. In an epoch typified by an unparalleled inundation of data, depending exclusively on intuition or gut sensation to steer pivotal resolutions is no longer satisfactory. Instead, enterprises are embracing a methodical, factual approach – one that hinges on the meticulous aggregation, rigorous processing, and comprehensive assessment of data. This methodology elucidates patterns and correlations that could otherwise linger concealed, furnishing invaluable discernments constituting the bedrock of strategic decision-making [1].

At its crux, IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making necessitates the meticulous orchestration of numerous interlinked phases. The voyage commences with the premeditated accumulation of a widespread variety of applicable data from multifarious origins, both internal and external. This raw data, once harvested, undergoes scrupulous cleansing and structuring into a cohesive framework, ensued by the application of sophisticated analytical instrumentation to derive meaningful patterns and inclinations. These insights then operate as guiding illuminations, steering the formulation of selections aligned with factual circumstances and market realities [2].

The advantages of this approach are multifarious. By tethering verdicts to data-substantiated insights, establishments become capable of not just refining the accuracy of their choices but also bolstering their operational efficiency and comprehensive performance. Whether it is streamlining supply chain maneuvers, optimizing marketing drives to target explicit customer segments, or envisaging shifts in consumer predilections, IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making endows a formidable arsenal. Moreover, the infusion of AI capabilities injects an additional stratum of sophistication. AI can excavate massive datasets for occulted correlations, predict prospective trajectories, and even mechanize certain decision-making workflows [3].

In a realm distinguished by unremitting flux and escalating intricacy, IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making bestows a strategic edge. It champions agility, enabling enterprises to pivot nimbly in riposte to

1Asst. Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email- sunj129@gmail.com
2Asst. Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email- dr.arqureshi786@gmail.com
3Associate Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email- jitendra.scsit@gmail.com
4Asst. Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email- profrubybhatt15@gmail.com
5Asst. Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email ID: hemantpal.scs@gmail.com
6Asst. Professor, Dept. of CS, Medi-Caps University, Indore.
Email- patil.govinda1976@gmail.com

burgeoning inclinations and evolving customer appetences. Additionally, the repetitive essence of this approach guarantees resolutions are not set in stone but persist exposed to continual re-evaluation and adaptation founded on real-world ramifications [4].

2. The Ascent Of Big Data

The exponential proliferation of data represents one of the most disruptive megatrends reshaping the business landscape. Fueled by the escalating digitization of operations, interactions, and transactions, enterprises today accumulate massive volumes of multivariant data from a burgeoning diversity of origins [5]. Sources spanning operational systems, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, social media platforms, and customer interfaces contribute to an unremitting data proliferation. This "big data" phenomenon ushers in simultaneously both tremendous opportunity and profound challenge. While big data reservoirs confer an invaluable asset, deriving actionable intelligence from voluminous, dynamic data necessitates cutting-edge analytical capabilities [6].

The immense volume of big data enables the aggregation of granular datasets across timescales, uncovering revelatory patterns and segmented insights fundamentally unattainable with limited data. Moreover, big data's diversity, encompassing structured, semi-structured and unstructured data, opens new investigative frontiers [7]. This permits multifaceted profiling of intricate systems and phenomena using text, audio, video, and sensor data alongside conventional structured data. Furthermore, big data's velocity enables real-time analysis, fostering operational agility and swift adaptation [8]. Hence, while substantial in scale, the real value of big data resides in analytical extraction of strategic knowledge.

However, realizing the potential of big data imposes severe technological challenges. Conventional data warehousing and analytical systems are overwhelmed by big data's volume, diversity, and velocity. This necessitates leveraging cutting-edge distributed data processing frameworks like Hadoop and Spark for storage and analysis [9]. To uncover meaningful signals, machine learning and AI techniques are crucial, empowering automated analysis of extensive, multilayered data [10]. Natural language processing and computer vision capabilities enable insights extraction from unstructured text, audio, and video data. Ultimately, deriving value from big data mandates a sophisticated analytical stack encompassing scalable infrastructure, adaptable algorithms, and AI [11]. Those harnessing this technology stack activate a momentous fountainhead of strategic advantage.

3. Artificial Intelligence And Advanced Analytics

Artificial intelligence occupies an indispensable role in IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making, augmenting the efficacy, precision, and expandability of this strategic approach. The integration of AI technologies, specifically machine learning and predictive analytics, transforms how enterprises extract knowledge from huge datasets and mechanize decision-making workflows [12]. By implementing sophisticated algorithms, AI not only perceives elaborate patterns but also empowers the forecasting of conclusions and formulation of optimum stratagems.

One of AI's preeminent strengths resides in its aptitude to course of action massive datasets at an unrivaled swiftness, extensively outperforming human capacity by degrees of magnitude. This quickened processing pace permits the high-speed analysis of huge datasets within fractions of the time customarily necessitated. This novel agility empowers enterprises to swiftly uncover insights and construct instantaneous verdicts, fostering intensified responsiveness and augmenting business dexterity [13]. The upshot is a revolutionary shift in the tempo of strategic manipulations and operational decision-making, situating establishments to harness opportunities and tackle obstacles with alacrity and exactness.

AI's adeptness is also noticeable in its faculty to navigate intricate, non-linear associations innate to data. This makes AI competent at uncovering abstruse patterns and connections potentially evading conventional analytical techniques. The discoveries produced by AI-powered analysis endow enterprises with a competitive advantage, as they acquire perspectives into subtle customer inclinations, nascent market tendencies, and uncharted pathways for operational refinement [14]. By unearthing these antecedently obscured insights, companies can fine-tune tactics to closely match market dynamics and customer anticipations.

Additionally, AI significantly elevates the expandability of data processing and analysis. As data volumes multiply exponentially, AI enables corporations to cost-effectively traverse this immense landscape. By modernizing the procedure of data investigation, AI permits establishments to sift through tremendous datasets with phenomenal efficiency, unlocking insights that could otherwise linger immersed within the data flood [15]. This expandability guarantees data-backed discernments can be effortlessly infused into decisional workflows crosswise organizational divisions and functions.

In essence, AI's indispensable role in IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making stems from its aptitude to accelerate, augment, and elevate the entire process. From swift data processing and real-time insights to uncovering complex patterns and versatility, AI represents a disruptive force enabling enterprises to leverage data for strategic advantage. As AI technologies persistently progress, they hold the promise of further enhancing the data-infused landscape, empowering establishments to explore uncharted frontiers within their data and anticipate trends on the horizon [16].

4. Predictive And Prescriptive Analytics

Predictive analytics, actuated by AI and machine learning algorithms, constitutes a pivotal mechanism in IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making. By analyzing historical data and deciphering patterns, predictive models foretell future events, behaviors, and tendencies [17]. This provides establishments with the capacity to construct data-substantiated predictions regarding forthcoming market shifts, technology disruptions, customer trajectories, and internal process conclusions.

Armed with predictive insights, enterprises can contrive proactive tactics, harness windows of prospect, and circumvent potential pitfalls. Simulations of prospective scenarios additionally bolster anticipatory blueprinting, enabling companies to stress test approaches under fluctuating simulated states [18]. This empowers enterprises to prepare for disruptions, fortify risk mitigation protocols, and design contingencies.

In business realms, predictive analytics reveals customer attrition risks, forecasts sales trajectories, assists financial investment strategies, enables demand planning and inventory optimization, and pinpoints equipment maintenance needs before breakdowns eventuate [19]. Across industries, predictive insights illuminate the road ahead.

Nonetheless, harnessing predictive analytics' potential necessitates meticulous model maturation, premium data quality, and organizational integration. Robust assessment metrics must be instituted to gauge model performance. Continuous monitoring ensures predictive precision persists aligned with evolving real-world dynamics [20]. Ultimately, a IoT and Machine Leaning based predictive culture is critical, where simulation-powered risk analysis and opportunity identification are ingrained in strategic planning and decision flows [21].

While predictive analytics offers invaluable foresight, prescriptive analytics leverages AI to recommend optimal actions based on predictive insights. Prescriptive models simulate numerous decision options and forecast their outcomes. This enables the identification of decisions that maximize desired objectives and minimize risks based on current circumstances [22]. As enterprises implement decision automation powered by prescriptive analytics, they actualize enhanced operational optimization, risk management, and strategic planning powered by IoT and Machine Leaning based intelligence [23].

With the maturation of AI capabilities, predictive and prescriptive analytics will profoundly expand the frontier of IoT and Machine Leaning based foresight and decision optimization.

5. Methodology

Research Design:

- **Study Type:** This research employs a cross-sectional study design.
- **Purpose:** The purpose of the study is to examine the relationships between IoT usage, awareness of machine learning in IoT systems, perceived sensor data accuracy, IoT-based decision-making, awareness of ML in decision-making, and perceived improvements in decision-making efficiency in IoT systems.

Variables of the Study:

- **Independent Variables:**
  1. IoT Usage (categorical: Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Rarely)
  2. Awareness of ML in Sensor Data Accuracy (binary: Yes/No)
  3. Experience of Improved Sensor Data Accuracy due to ML Integration (binary: Yes/No)
  4. IoT-based Decision-Making (binary: Yes/No)
  5. Awareness of ML in Decision-Making (binary: Yes/No)
  6. Observation of Improved Decision-Making Efficiency due to ML Integration (binary: Yes/No)
- **Dependent Variables:**
  1. Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy (continuous)
  2. ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency (continuous)

Theoretical Framework:

- The study is guided by a theoretical framework that posits relationships between IoT usage, awareness of machine learning, and their impacts on perceived sensor data accuracy and decision-making efficiency in IoT systems. It draws on theories of technology adoption and the role of machine learning in enhancing IoT system performance.

Sample Size:

- The study collects data from a sample of 50 participants. While a larger sample size might increase statistical power, the current sample size provides insights into the relationships under investigation.

Data Collection Procedure:

- Data was collected through a structured survey administered to participants. Survey questions were designed to gather information on IoT usage, awareness of machine learning in IoT, experiences with sensor data accuracy and decision-making, and perceptions of improvements due to ML integration. Participants were asked to respond to the survey questions based on their experiences and perceptions.

Analysis Tools:

- Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations, were computed to summarize the central tendencies and variabilities of the data.
- Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the relationships between variables.
- Hypothesis testing was conducted to determine the statistical significance of correlations.
- Statistical software, such as SPSS or a similar tool, was used for data analysis.

Ethical Considerations:

- Ethical approval and informed consent were obtained before collecting data. Participant anonymity and confidentiality were ensured throughout the study.

Limitations:

- The study has a relatively small sample size, which may limit the generalizability of findings.
- The data is self-reported and subject to response bias.
- The cross-sectional design does not establish causality but examines associations between variables at a single point in time.

6. Analysis

6.1 Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics			
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
How frequently do you use IoT devices that rely on sensor data? (Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Rarely)	50	2.52	1.074
Are you aware of machine learning techniques being used to improve sensor data accuracy in IoT systems? (Yes/No)	50	1.36	.485
Have you experienced improved sensor data accuracy due to ML integration in IoT devices?	50	1.38	.490
Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy	50	2.72	1.629
Do you use IoT devices or systems in decision-making processes?	50	1.32	.471
Are you aware of machine learning techniques being used to optimize decision-making in IoT systems? (Yes/No)	50	1.56	.501
Have you observed improvements in decision-making efficiency due to ML integration in IoT systems? (Yes/No)	50	1.58	.499
Valid N (listwise)	50		

In this table, we present descriptive statistics for the responses to a survey that collected data on various aspects of IoT (Internet of Things) usage and awareness of machine learning techniques in IoT systems. The survey was conducted with a sample size (N) of 50 participants. The table provides insights into the central tendencies and variabilities of the responses for each survey question.

1. **How frequently do you use IoT devices that rely on sensor data? (Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Rarely)**
    - Mean: 2.52
    - Std. Deviation: 1.074

This row summarizes the participants' reported frequency of using IoT devices that rely on sensor data. The mean value of 2.52 suggests a moderate level of usage, with a standard deviation of 1.074 indicating some variability in responses.

2. **Are you aware of machine learning techniques being used to improve sensor data accuracy in IoT systems? (Yes/No)**
    - Mean: 1.36
    - Std. Deviation: 0.485

   This row presents information on participants' awareness of the use of machine learning techniques to enhance sensor data accuracy in IoT systems. The mean value of 1.36 indicates a tendency toward awareness, with a lower standard deviation suggesting relatively consistent responses.

3. **Have you experienced improved sensor data accuracy due to ML integration in IoT devices?**
    - Mean: 1.38
    - Std. Deviation: 0.490

   This row reflects participants' reported experiences with improved sensor data accuracy resulting from the integration of machine learning in IoT devices. The mean value of 1.38 suggests a moderate level of reported improvement, with a standard deviation of 0.490 indicating some variability in experiences.

4. **Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy**
    - Mean: 2.72
    - Std. Deviation: 1.629

   This row provides the mean and standard deviation of participants' perceptions of sensor data accuracy in IoT systems. The mean value of 2.72 suggests a moderate level of perceived accuracy, while the standard deviation of 1.629 shows some variability in perceived accuracy among respondents.

5. **Do you use IoT devices or systems in decision-making processes?**
    - Mean: 1.32
    - Std. Deviation: 0.471

   This row summarizes participants' reported usage of IoT devices or systems in decision-making processes. The mean value of 1.32 suggests a tendency toward usage, with a lower standard deviation indicating relatively consistent responses.

6. **Are you aware of machine learning techniques being used to optimize decision-making in IoT systems? (Yes/No)**
    - Mean: 1.56
    - Std. Deviation: 0.501

   This row provides information on participants' awareness of the use of machine learning techniques to optimize decision-making in IoT systems. The mean value of 1.56 indicates a tendency toward awareness, with a moderate standard deviation suggesting some variability in responses.

7. **Have you observed improvements in decision-making efficiency due to ML integration in IoT systems? (Yes/No)**
    - Mean: 1.58
    - Std. Deviation: 0.499

   This row reflects participants' reported observations of improvements in decision-making efficiency resulting from the integration of machine learning in IoT systems. The mean value of 1.58 suggests a moderate level of reported improvement, with a standard deviation of 0.499 indicating some variability in observations.

The provided statistics offer insights into participants' usage patterns, awareness, and experiences related to IoT and machine learning in the context of sensor data and decision-making processes. These findings can be valuable for understanding the perceptions and behaviors of individuals in this domain.

6.2 Hypothesis Testing

1. Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H0):

- There is no significant correlation between IoT usage and perceived sensor data accuracy in the population.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1):

- There is a significant correlation between IoT usage and perceived sensor data accuracy in the population.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation		N
IoT Usage	2.5200	1.07362		50
Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy	2.7200	1.62932		50

In Table 1, "Descriptive Statistics," the mean and standard deviation for two variables, "IoT Usage" and "Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy," are provided along with the sample size (N) for each variable. This table gives you a summary of the central tendency and variability of the data for these two variables.

Table 2 (a): Correlations

Variable	Pearson Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)
IoT Usage	**1**	**.000**
Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy	.773**	.000

In Table 2, "Correlations," the Pearson correlation coefficient between "IoT Usage" and "Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy" is calculated. The "Sig. (2-tailed)" column represents the p-value associated with the correlation coefficient. In this table, it's evident that there is a strong positive correlation of .773** (significant at the 0.01 level, 2-tailed) between "IoT Usage" and "Perceived Sensor Data Accuracy." This suggests that as IoT usage increases, the perceived sensor data accuracy also tends to increase significantly.

2. Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H0):

- There is no significant correlation between IoT decision-making and ML integration decision-making efficiency in the population.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1):

- There is a significant positive correlation between IoT decision-making and ML integration decision-making efficiency in the population.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of IoT Decision-Making and ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency (N=50)

Descriptive Statistics			
	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
IoT Decision-Making	1.5600	.50143	50
ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency	1.5800	.49857	50

In this analysis, we explore the relationship between two variables: "IoT Decision-Making" and "ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency." The dataset consists of responses from 50 participants. We conducted a correlation analysis to understand the potential association between these two variables and to determine the statistical significance of the correlation.

Descriptive Statistics:

- **IoT Decision-Making**:
  - Mean: 1.5600
  - Std. Deviation: 0.50143
  - N: 50
- **ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency**:
  - Mean: 1.5800
  - Std. Deviation: 0.49857
  - N: 50

The descriptive statistics provide information about the central tendencies and variabilities of the two variables. "IoT Decision-Making" has a mean of 1.5600, indicating a tendency toward a specific level of decision-making involvement, with a standard deviation of 0.50143 suggesting some variability in responses. "ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency" has a similar mean of 1.5800 and a slightly lower standard deviation of 0.49857, indicating a similar level of involvement and slightly less variability in responses.

Table 3 (a) Correlation Analysis of IoT Decision-Making and ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency (N=50)

Correlation			
		IoT Decision -Making	ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency
IoT Decision-Making	Pearson Correlation	1	.552**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	50	50
ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency	Pearson Correlation	.552**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	50	50

- **IoT Decision-Making** and **ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency** have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.552**.
- The p-value associated with this correlation is 0.000, which is less than 0.01.

The ** correlation coefficient indicates a positive and moderate relationship between "IoT Decision-Making" and "ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency." This correlation is statistically significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), suggesting that the relationship is unlikely to be due to chance.

In summary, the correlation analysis reveals a statistically significant positive association between "IoT Decision-Making" and "ML Integration Decision-Making Efficiency." This suggests that individuals who are more involved in IoT decision-making processes tend to observe greater decision-making efficiency through the integration of machine learning techniques in IoT systems. The findings can have implications for organizations and researchers looking to optimize decision-making in IoT contexts.

Table: 4 Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	Type of Testing	Result	Null Hypothesis
Hypothesis 1	Pearson Correlation	Significant positive correlation (r = 0.773, p < 0.01)	Rejected
Hypothesis 2	Pearson Correlation	Significant positive correlation (r = 0.552, p < 0.01)	Rejected

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, the analysis of the data reveals significant insights into the convergence of Machine Learning (ML) and Internet of Things (IoT) in the context of decision-making and sensor data accuracy. First, there is a strong positive correlation (Pearson's $r = 0.773$, $p < 0.01$) between IoT usage frequency and perceived sensor data accuracy, indicating that as individuals use IoT devices more frequently, their perception of sensor data accuracy tends to increase significantly. Second, a moderate positive correlation (Pearson's $r = 0.552$, $p < 0.01$) is observed between IoT-based decision-making and ML integration decision-making efficiency, suggesting that those actively involved in IoT decision-making processes tend to experience greater decision-making efficiency through the integration of machine learning techniques in IoT systems. These findings underscore the importance of data-driven decision-making in IoT and highlight the role of machine learning in enhancing both sensor data accuracy and decision-making efficiency. Organizations and researchers should consider these insights when developing strategies to harness the potential of IoT and ML for improved business outcomes.

References

[1] Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2016). The rapid adoption of IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making. American Economic Review, 106(5), 133-39.

[2] McAfee, A., Brynjolfsson, E., Davenport, T. H., Patil, D. J., & Barton, D. (2012). Big data: the management revolution. Harvard business review, 90(10), 60-68.

[3] Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment?. International Journal of Production Economics, 182, 113-131.

[4] Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2016). The rapid adoption of IoT and Machine Leaning based decision-making. American Economic Review, 106(5), 133-39.

[5] Hashem, I. A. T., Chang, V., Anuar, N. B., Adewole, K., Yaqoob, I., Gani, A., ... & Chiroma, H. (2016). The role of big data in smart city. International Journal of Information Management, 36(5), 748-758.

[6] McAfee, A., Brynjolfsson, E., Davenport, T. H., Patil, D. J., & Barton, D. (2012). Big data: the management revolution. Harvard business review, 90(10), 60-68.

[7] Adjouadi, M., Ayala, M., Barreto, A., Andrian, J., & Rishe, N. (2016). On the challenges of leveraging big data for interdisciplinary scientific discovery. Journal of Computational Science, 17, 462-476.

[8] Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment?. International Journal of Production Economics, 182, 113-131.

[9] Marjani, M., Nasaruddin, F., Gani, A., Karim, A., Hashem, I. A. T., Siddiqa, A., & Yaqoob, I. (2017). Big IoT data analytics: architecture, opportunities, and open research challenges. IEEE Access, 5, 5247-5261.

[10] Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Akter, S., Ren, S. J. F., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2017). Big data analytics and firm performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities. Journal of Business Research, 70, 356-365.

[11] Mikalef, P., Pappas, I. O., Krogstie, J., & Giannakos, M. (2018). Big data analytics capabilities: a systematic literature review and research agenda. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 16(3), 547-578.

[12] Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. Journal of Service Research, 21(2), 155-172.

[13] Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment?. International Journal of Production Economics, 182, 113-131.

[14] Sestino, A., & De Mauro, A. (2022). Leveraging artificial intelligence in business: Implications, applications and methods. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 34(1), 16-29.

[15] Wang, Y., Kung, L. A., & Byrd, T. A. (2018). Big data analytics: Understanding its capabilities and potential benefits for healthcare organizations. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 126, 3-13.

[16] Davenport, T. H. (2018). How AI impacts management. MIT Sloan Management Review, 60(1), 28-38.

[17] Shmueli, G., & Koppius, O. R. (2011). Predictive analytics in information systems research. MIS quarterly, 553-572.

[18] Mortenson, M. J., Doherty, N. F., & Robinson, S. (2015). Operational research from Taylorism to Terabytes: A research agenda for the analytics age. European Journal of Operational Research, 241(3), 583-595.

[19] Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment?. International Journal of Production Economics, 182, 113-131.

[20] Sharma, R., Mithas, S., & Kankanhalli, A. (2014). Transforming decision-making processes: a research agenda for understanding the impact of business analytics on organisations. European Journal of Information Systems, 23(4), 433-441.

[21] Mortenson, M. J., Doherty, N. F., & Robinson, S. (2015). Operational research from Taylorism to Terabytes: A research agenda for the analytics age. European Journal of Operational Research, 241(3), 583-595.

[22] Müller, O., Junglas, I., Brocke, J. V., & Debortoli, S. (2016). Utilizing big data analytics for information systems research: challenges, promises and guidelines. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 17(8), 2.

[23] Hazen, B. T., Boone, C. A., Ezell, J. D., & Jones-Farmer, L. A. (2014). Data quality for data science, predictive analytics, and big data in supply chain management: An introduction to the problem and suggestions for research and applications. International Journal of Production Economics, 154, 72-80.

[24] Benjamin Jackson, Mark Johnson, Andrea Ricci, Piotr Wiśniewski, Laura Martínez. Intelligent Automation through the Integration of Machine Learning and Decision Science. Kuwait Journal of Machine Learning, 2(4). Retrieved from http://kuwaitjournals.com/index.php/kjml/article/view/222

[25] Rajendra, K. ., Subramanian, S. ., Karthik, N. ., Naveenkumar, K. ., & Ganesan, S. . (2023). Grey Wolf Optimizer and Cuckoo Search Algorithm for Electric Power System State Estimation with Load Uncertainty and False Data. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 11(2s), 59–67. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i2s.6029

[26] Pandey, J.K., Ahamad, S., Veeraiah, V., Adil, N., Dhabliya, D., Koujalagi, A., Gupta, A. Impact of call drop ratio over 5G network (2023) Innovative Smart Materials Used in Wireless Communication Technology, pp. 201-224.

[27] Thanagaraju, V. ., & Nagarajan, K. K. . (2023). A Detailed Analysis of Air Pollution Monitoring System and Prediction Using Machine Learning Methods. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 11(2s), 51–58. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i2s.6028

[28] Mr. Vaishali Sarangpure. (2014). CUP and DISC OPTIC Segmentation Using Optimized Superpixel Classification for Glaucoma Screening. International Journal of New Practices in Management and Engineering, 3(03), 07 - 11. Retrieved from http://ijnpme.org/index.php/IJNPME/article/view/30

[29] Anand, R., Ahamad, S., Veeraiah, V., Janardan, S.K., Dhabliya, D., Sindhwani, N., Gupta, A. Optimizing 6G wireless network security for effective communication (2023) Innovative Smart Materials Used in Wireless Communication Technology, pp. 1-20.