International Journal of
INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING
ISSN:2147-6799 www.ijisae.org Original Research Paper

A Systematic Review of Automated Lymph Node Detection Methods in Head and Neck Cancer: Clinical Significance, Performance, and Challenges

[1]Nasira Mahjabeen, [2]Dr. Veerraju Gampala

Submitted: 29/11/2023 **Revised**: 27/12/2023 **Accepted**: 08/01/2024

Abstract: This systematic review investigates the role of automated lymph node detection methods, particularly within the context of head and neck cancer diagnosis and treatment. Lymph node metastasis is a crucial factor in the staging and management of head and neck cancer patients, and the accuracy of lymph node assessment significantly influences treatment decisions and patient outcomes. Automated methods, especially those based on deep learning, have emerged as potential game-changers in enhancing the precision and efficiency of lymph node evaluation in medical imaging. Following PRISMA guidelines, this review presents a meticulous selection and analysis of relevant studies from peer-reviewed journals. It evaluates the clinical significance, performance metrics, limitations, and challenges of automated lymph node detection methods. Clinical relevance is a central theme, emphasizing these methods' critical role in aiding clinicians in lymph node assessment, influencing treatment planning, and improving patient care. Performance evaluation indicates that deep learning techniques, especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), exhibit impressive accuracy across various imaging modalities like computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET). However, the review also highlights persistent challenges, including high computational demands, false positives/negatives, and the need for seamless integration into clinical workflows. Standardization efforts through benchmark datasets and evaluation metrics are essential for future advancements. Addressing computational resource constraints, refining algorithms, and ensuring practical clinical implementation is essential for fully realizing the potential of automated lymph node detection in the management of head and neck cancer. This review serves as a comprehensive resource for researchers and clinicians seeking to navigate this evolving landscape.

Keywords: *clinicians, Lymph, implementation, algorithms, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), benchmark*

1. Introduction

Head and neck cancer is a significant health concern worldwide, often necessitating precise diagnostic and treatment strategies for improved patient outcomes. Medical imaging, particularly Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [1], is pivotal in assessing head and neck cancer. One critical aspect of this diagnostic process is the identification and classification of lymph nodes within the head and neck region. Lymph nodes are vital indicators of disease progression, as their status can influence staging, treatment planning, and prognosis. Traditionally, detecting and characterizing lymph nodes in head and neck cancer MRI scans has relied on the expertise of radiologists and oncologists, which can be time-consuming and subject to inter-observer variability. To address these challenges and enhance the precision of diagnosis, automated lymph node detection and classification systems have emerged as a promising solution [2].

This technological advancement harnesses the power of computer vision and machine learning to automate the identification and characterization of lymph nodes in MRI scans. By leveraging these cutting-edge technologies, healthcare professionals can benefit from faster, more consistent, and potentially more accurate assessments of lymph node involvement in head and neck cancer cases. This comprehensive exploration delves into the intricacies of automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans. We will discuss the methodologies, tools, and techniques employed in this field, emphasizing the importance of this innovation in improving patient care. Additionally, we will address this technology's challenges, ethical considerations, and prospects, highlighting its potential to revolutionize the oncology and personalized medicine field [4]–[6].

Head and neck cancer, a diverse group of malignancies affecting the mouth, throat, and adjacent areas, presents unique challenges in diagnosis and treatment. One of the most critical aspects of managing this type of cancer is the assessment of lymph nodes in the head and neck region. Lymph node assessment is pivotal in guiding treatment

[1]*Ph. D Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522503, Andhra Pradesh, India.*
nasira.zm@gmail.com
[2]*Designation: Associate Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522503, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

decisions, predicting prognosis, and monitoring the course of the disease. Lymph nodes are small, bean-shaped structures strategically located throughout the body. They are part of the lymphatic system, a critical immune system component responsible for filtering lymphatic fluid and capturing harmful substances, including cancer cells. In head and neck cancer, these lymph nodes serve as sentinel stations, indicating the presence and extent of disease [7]–[9].

One of the primary reasons for assessing lymph nodes in head and neck cancer is to determine the stage of the disease. Staging involves evaluating the size and extent of the primary tumor and the presence of cancer in nearby lymph nodes or distant organs. The cancer stage guides treatment decisions and helps predict the patient's prognosis. Lymph node involvement significantly influences staging. Cancer cells that spread to nearby lymph nodes indicate more advanced disease and a higher stage. Accurate lymph node assessment helps oncologists categorize the cancer as localized, locally advanced, or metastatic, thereby directing the appropriate treatment approach [10]–[12].

Lymph node assessment is integral to formulating a treatment plan for head and neck cancer patients. Depending on the stage and extent of lymph node involvement, treatment options may include surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, or a combination of these modalities. Precise information about lymph nodes is essential for tailoring treatment to the individual patient's needs [13], [14]. For example, if cancer has spread to lymph nodes in the neck, surgeons may perform a neck dissection to remove these nodes, thereby reducing the risk of further cancer spread. Conversely, in uninvolved lymph nodes, the focus may be on preserving critical structures and minimizing treatment-related morbidity. In surgical oncology, lymph node assessment informs the surgical approach. For many head and neck cancer patients, surgical removal of cancerous tissue is a key component of treatment. The extent of lymph node dissection is determined by the presence and location of cancer in these nodes. Accurate preoperative assessment allows surgeons to plan procedures with precision, ensuring adequate cancer clearance while minimizing complications [15], [16].

Lymph node assessment is also crucial for monitoring treatment response. After undergoing treatment, such as surgery, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy, changes in the size and appearance of lymph nodes can indicate whether the cancer is responding positively or persisting. This information is invaluable for making adjustments to the treatment plan. If lymph nodes show signs of regression or resolution, it may be an indicator of successful treatment. Conversely, the persistence or enlargement of lymph nodes may signal the need for alternative therapies [17], [18]. Lymph nodes are common sites of cancer recurrence in head and neck cancer. Regular monitoring of lymph nodes, often through imaging studies like Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scans, is essential for detecting any signs of cancer recurrence early, when treatment options may be more effective. Recurrence in lymph nodes may necessitate salvage therapy, which can include additional surgery, radiation, or systemic treatments [19], [20].

Accurate lymph node assessment helps strike a balance between effectively treating cancer and minimizing treatment-related complications. Avoiding overly aggressive lymph node dissection or radiation therapy can reduce the risk of adverse effects, such as nerve damage, swallowing difficulties, or changes in appearance [21]–[23]. Patient quality of life is a paramount consideration, and lymph node assessment contributes to tailoring treatments that optimize outcomes while minimizing morbidity. For patients and their families, understanding the implications of lymph node assessment is essential. Precise information about the stage and extent of the disease helps healthcare providers explain the treatment options, expected outcomes, and potential side effects. Informed decision-making is crucial in the treatment journey, and lymph node assessment provides the foundation for these discussions [24]–[26].

The lymph node assessment is a cornerstone of head and neck cancer care. It influences staging, treatment planning, response evaluation, recurrence detection, and patients' overall well-being. Automated methods for lymph node assessment in medical imaging, such as MRI scans, hold promise for enhancing the precision and efficiency of this critical process. In the complex landscape of head and neck cancer, the accurate assessment of lymph nodes, their detection, and classification remain essential for delivering effective, personalized care to individuals affected by this challenging disease [27]–[32].

Objectives of the study:

The main objectives for a systematic review on automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans:

- Assess Methodological Approaches:
  - Evaluate the methods and techniques for automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans. This includes the analysis of machine learning algorithms, deep learning architectures, and image processing methodologies used in the studies.
- Performance Analysis:

- Investigate the performance of automated systems in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and other relevant metrics. Compare and contrast the results across different studies to identify trends and variations in performance.

- Clinical Impact:
  - Determine the clinical relevance and potential impact of automated lymph node detection and classification systems in managing head and neck cancer. Assess how these systems contribute to diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient outcomes.
- Challenges and Limitations:
  - Identify and analyze common challenges and limitations encountered in developing and implementing automated systems. This includes data quality, generalizability, and practical challenges in clinical settings.
- Recommendations for Future Research:
  - Provide recommendations for future research directions in the field. Suggest areas for further innovation and improvement, such as enhancing system performance, addressing ethical considerations, or improving integration into clinical practice.

Scope of the study:

The scope of this systematic review is centered on the automated detection and classification of lymph nodes within Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scans exclusively in the context of head and neck cancer. The primary objective is to comprehensively assess the methodologies and techniques utilized in automating lymph node identification and characterization within this specific medical condition. While the primary focus is MRI, this study may consider research combining MRI with other imaging modalities if they contribute significantly to lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer. Additionally, the review will encompass studies published within a specified time frame to ensure relevance to recent developments in the field. Only studies published in English will be included, and the study will focus exclusively on the medical condition of head and neck cancer. Grey literature may be considered if it meets the defined inclusion criteria. The geographic origin of the research will be a manageable factor. The review will encompass peer-reviewed research articles, conference papers, and relevant systematic reviews or meta-analyses that report on methodologies, results, and their relevance to clinical practice. While ethical and regulatory considerations may be briefly discussed, they will not be the review's primary focus.

Research Question:

- What is the current state of automated lymph node detection and classification methods in head and neck cancer MRI scans, and what is their clinical relevance and performance compared to manual assessment?

Significance of the study:

The systematic review of automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans carries profound significance in medical research and patient care. This study is a critical bridge between cutting-edge technology and its practical application in improving the diagnosis and treatment of head and neck cancer patients. Firstly, the review provides an evidence-based foundation for healthcare professionals to make informed decisions regarding adopting automated systems. By synthesizing the existing body of research, it offers a comprehensive evaluation of these systems' performance, accuracy, and limitations, enabling clinicians to confidently integrate them into their clinical workflows.

Secondly, the systematic review has the potential to revolutionize clinical practice. The insights gained from this research enable healthcare providers to optimize treatment plans by tailoring interventions based on precise lymph node assessments. This personalized approach enhances treatment effectiveness and reduces unnecessary procedures, minimizing treatment-related morbidity and improving patients' overall quality of life. Furthermore, the review contributes to the ongoing advancements in medical imaging, oncology, and artificial intelligence. Identifying knowledge gaps and research needs guides future investigations, propelling the development of more sophisticated and reliable automated lymph node detection and classification systems. Importantly, this study promotes ethical and regulatory considerations in healthcare, ensuring that adopting automated systems aligns with legal and ethical standards. Addressing potential challenges paves the way for responsible and ethical implementation in clinical settings. Ultimately, the systematic review advances patient-centered care by enhancing diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes. It empowers patients with access to cutting-edge technologies that have the potential to improve survival rates and reduce the physical and emotional burden of cancer treatment.

This systematic review is a pivotal step toward harnessing the benefits of automation in healthcare, with the potential to transform the landscape of head and neck cancer diagnosis and treatment, ultimately improving the well-being and outcomes of patients.

2. Research Methodology

Conducting a systematic review on automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans involves a rigorous methodology to ensure the review process's comprehensiveness, transparency, and reproducibility. Here's a step-by-step method to conducting such a review using Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar:

Define the Research Question and Objectives:

Clearly state the research question and objectives to guide the systematic review. For example: "What is the current state of automated lymph node detection and classification methods in head and neck cancer MRI scans, and what is their clinical relevance and performance compared to manual assessment?"

Develop a Search Strategy:

To search for relevant articles, create a comprehensive search strategy using appropriate Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms, keywords, and Boolean operators (AND, OR). Tailor the search strategy for each database, considering their specific syntax and indexing terms.

Include terms related to "head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," "MRI scans," and "automated methods."

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, utilizing a well-structured search strategy that incorporated relevant keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms such as "head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," "MRI scans," and "automated methods." The search was limited to articles published between January 2013 and September 2023, focusing on recent advancements in the field. This systematic approach ensures the inclusion of up-to-date and pertinent research articles for the subsequent stages of the systematic review process, including screening, data extraction, and synthesis. The transparent reporting of the search strategy enhances the review's credibility and reproducibility.

Conduct the Search:

Table 1: search strategy in each selected database from 2013 to 2023

S.No	Database	No. of articles
1	Scopus	194
2	PubMed	32
3	Google Scholar	11200

Table 1 provides an overview of the search strategy results in each selected database from 2013 to 2023 for the systematic review of automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans.

Scopus: The search in Scopus yielded 173 articles, meeting the initial search criteria. Scopus is known for its extensive coverage of scientific literature, and this result suggests a significant body of research on the topic within this database. These articles will be subject to further screening to determine their relevance and eligibility for inclusion in the systematic review.

PubMed: PubMed, a widely respected medical database, provided a more focused result, with 32 articles meeting the search criteria. While the number is comparatively lower than Scopus, PubMed is known for emphasizing high-quality, peer-reviewed medical literature. These 32 articles will undergo further evaluation to assess their relevance and suitability for inclusion in the review.

Google Scholar: Google Scholar yielded substantial results, with 11,200 articles identified during the search period. Google Scholar includes many scholarly content, including articles, theses, conference papers, and more. The high number of results suggests a significant volume of literature on the topic. However, it's important to note that Google Scholar may include non-peer-reviewed sources, requiring careful screening to identify relevant and reliable studies.

The search strategy in each selected database has yielded varying numbers of articles. Scopus provided a moderate number of articles, PubMed offered a more focused selection, and Google Scholar returned many potential sources. The following steps in the systematic review will involve screening and assessing these articles for their relevance and quality to determine which will be included in the study.

3. Screening and Selection

Following a comprehensive and systematic screening process of the initial 11,405 search results across Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, a carefully selected subset of 38 articles has been identified for inclusion in this systematic review. These articles have met stringent inclusion criteria, which specify study types limited to original research articles and systematic reviews, a publication date range spanning from January 2013 to

September 2023, the use of the English language, and direct relevance to the subject of automated lymph node detection and classification in head and neck cancer MRI scans. Additionally, rigorous exclusion criteria were applied, which involved the removal of duplicate studies and articles that did not align with the defined inclusion criteria. The resulting 38 articles form the foundation for the systematic review, encompassing a focused and relevant body of literature to address the research question and objectives comprehensively. The subsequent phases of the review process will involve in-depth data extraction, quality assessment, and synthesis of findings to provide valuable insights into this critical area of medical imaging and oncology.

Table 2: The key characteristics involve systematic reviews and investigations, including meta-analyses.

S.No	Authors	Keywords	Year	No. of Citations	Automated method Used	Subject
1	[33]	Key1	2016	64	No	Medical
2	[34]	Key1	2012	26	Yes	Medical
3	[35]	Key1	2009	26	No	Medical
4	[36]	Key1	2013	25	Yes	Medical and Computer tools
5	[12]	Key1	2022	9	Yes	Medical and Machine learning tools
6	[37]	Key1	2021	3	No	Medical
7	[38]	Key1	2022	2	Yes	Medical and Machine learning tools
8	[39]	Key1	2023	0	Yes	Medical and Machine learning tools
9	[40]	Key2	2014	55	Yes	Medical and Machine learning
10	[41]	Key2	2013	9	Yes	Medical and Machine learning
11	[42]	Key2	2016	20	Yes	Medical and Machine learning
12	[43]	Key2	2016	16	Yes	Medical
13	[44]	Key2	2021	3	Yes	Medical and Computer Science
14	[45]	Key2	2021	18	No	Medical
15	[46]	Key2	2015	32	Yes	Medical
16	[47]	Key2	2013	4	No	Medical
17	[48]	Key3	2023	5	Yes	Artificial Intelligence
18	[49]	Key3	2022	4	Yes	Artificial Intelligence
19	[50]	Key3	2022	5	Yes	Artificial Intelligence
20	[51]	Key3	2022	0	Yes	Artificial Intelligence
21	[52]	Key3	2022			
22	[53]	Key3	2018	27	Yes	Artificial Intelligence
23	[54]	Key3	2014	84	Yes	Medical and AI
24	[55]	Key3	2019	92	Yes	Deep learning

25	[56]	Key3	2023	1	Yes	Medical and AI
26	[57]	Key3	2018	8	Yes	Medical and AI
27	[58]	Key3	2017	11	Yes	Medical and AI
28	[59]	Key3	2013	57	Yes	Medical
29	[60]	Key3	2017	7	Yes	Medical
30	[61]	Key3	2020	26	Yes	Medical and AI
31	[62]	Key3	2019	48	Yes	AI and Medical
32	[63]	Key3	2023	1	Yes	AI and Medical
33	[64]	Key3	2023	2	Yes	Deep learning
34	[3]	Key3	2015	29	Yes	Medical
35	[65]	Key3	2023	2	Yes	Medical and AI
36	[22]	Key3	2023	3	Yes	Machine learning
37	[46]	Key3	2015	87	No	Medicine
38	[66]	Key3	2023	5	Yes	Medicine and AI

Note: Key1: "head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," and "automated methods."

Key2 :"head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," and "MRI Scans"

Key3: "head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," “MRI Scans”, and "automated methods."

4. Literature Survey

This [33] study assessed E6/E7 mRNA in situ hybridization (RISH) as a solution for determining HPV status in challenging oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) cases. RISH successfully detected transcriptionally active HPV in 88% of p16-positive/DISH-negative cases, offering a compassionate and specific approach for prognosis and clinical trial enrollment in OPSCCs.

The study [34]aimed to improve the accuracy of clinical staging for early head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (SCCHN) and reduce unnecessary neck dissections. Researchers developed a rapid and accurate PCR assay, identifying promising marker genes (PVA and TACSTD1) for SCCHN metastasis. The multiplexed qRT-PCR assay demonstrated high accuracy (≈96% negative predictive value) for identifying metastatic nodes, potentially sparing many pN0 patients from unnecessary neck dissection. This technique also holds promise for post-treatment residual neck disease identification.

(Seethala, 2009)Cervical lymph node status is a crucial prognosticator in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Different types of neck dissections with standardized node group designations exist. Examination of the dissected neck provides key staging information, including metastasis size and lymph node involvement. Detecting micrometastases and molecular positivity remains challenging but may explain some regional recurrences. Sentinel lymph node biopsy, while investigational, holds promise in guiding neck dissections aided by rapid real-time RT-PCR platforms.

This [36]study aimed to validate FDG PET segmentation tools for assessing lymph node metastases in head and neck cancer. While FDG PET accurately estimated nodal volumes, it didn't significantly improve radiotherapy target volume delineation compared to CT alone. Automated segmentation methods are recommended for consistency and interinstitutional comparison when using FDG PET in radiotherapy planning.

Efficient head and neck cancer treatment relies on swift, reliable cervical lymph node (CLN) detection and diagnosis. Manual and invasive methods are currently gold standards but have limitations. This study [12] uses deep learning to introduce a non-invasive, automated computer-aided diagnosis (CADx) system for CLNs. The proposed architecture achieved high sensitivity and accuracy, validating the CADx system's utility.

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) often involves lymph node metastasis, requiring fine needle aspiration (FNA) for diagnosis and HPV status determination. This study [37] explored whether the supernatant portion of FNA, usually discarded, could reliably determine HPV status. In 96% of cases, the supernatant was suitable for HPV testing, with concordant results compared to cell blocks. The LightCycler method demonstrated higher sensitivity, highlighting the potential of utilizing supernatant for HPV testing, improving diagnostic efficiency.

Precision radiotherapy plays a pivotal role in modern cancer treatment, aiming for quality improvement and cost reduction. This [38]review highlights advancements in using deep learning, organ parsing, imaging fusion, and neural architecture search to tackle key challenges in precision radiotherapy, such as organ segmentation, tumor volume delineation, and lymph node detection, with a focus on esophageal and head-and-neck cancers. These automated techniques enhance reproducibility, reduce variability, and expedite treatment planning, benefitting patients. The review encourages multidisciplinary efforts in precision radiotherapy workflows.

This [39]study evaluated the sensitivity of an electronic portal imaging device (EPID)-based in-vivo dosimetry (EIVD) system to detect anatomical changes in head and neck cancer (HNC) patients during radiotherapy. Of the 182 patients analyzed, 50 required adaptive radiotherapy (ART) due to anatomical changes. EIVD combined with 3D imaging was effective in detecting these changes, but it had some limitations, especially in cases with minor dosimetric changes or clinical reasons necessitating ART.

The [40]study compared whole-body 18F-FDG-PET/MR imaging and 18F-FDG-PET/CT in 14 head and neck cancer patients. Two groups of readers assessed lesion counts, and consensus readings were conducted in cases of disagreement. The results indicated comparable detection of lymph node and distant metastases. Standardized uptake values obtained from 18F-FDG-PET/MR imaging were deemed reliable for this patient group.

[41]Head and neck cancers, primarily squamous cell carcinomas, are on the rise, often linked to human papillomavirus (HPV) infection. Precise imaging with CT, MRI, and 18F-FDG PET/CT is crucial for staging and planning treatments. PET/CT helps detect lymph node and distant metastases, assess treatment response, and guide therapy decisions. However, interpretation requires expertise in head and neck anatomy and an understanding of normal tissue uptake. PET/CT aids in nodal staging and can reveal small submucosal primary tumors. Detecting distant metastases is vital, with the most common sites being the lung, bone, and liver.

Hybrid imaging techniques like PET-MRI are revolutionizing cancer diagnosis and staging by combining the strengths of PET's functional imaging and MRI's soft tissue sensitivity. This [42]review emphasizes the clinical utility of FDG PET-MRI in gastrointestinal cancers, highlighting its ability to detect metastases and assess treatment responses, with a focus on esophageal, stomach, colorectal, and pancreatic cancers.

[43] assessed the ability of multimodal evaluation, including 3T-MRI and PET/CT, to detect cancer of unknown primary origin (CUP) with neck lymph node metastasis. PET/CT exhibited the highest sensitivity (94.4%) but lower specificity (65.0%), while 3T-MPMRI increased specificity to 71.4%. Both PET/CT and MPMRI had similar accuracy (79.0%) in identifying unknown primary sites, with PET/CT offering whole-body information in a single examination, while MPMRI provided detailed soft tissue status. PET/CT is recommended as the first choice for CUP cases, while MPMRI aids in precise staging and prognostic evaluation.

[44]The clinical value of 18F-FDG PET/MR was compared head-to-head with PET/CT in patients with suspected recurrence or cervical lymph node metastasis of differentiated thyroid carcinoma. PET/MR exhibited superior detection rates (91.5% vs. 80.8%), image conspicuity, and sensitivity (80.5% vs. 61.0%), especially in complex level II lymph nodes. SUVmax measurements were consistent and correlated between PET/MR and PET/CT, making PET/MR a more accurate tool for lesion detection and characterization, reducing ionizing radiation exposure, and warranting further studies.

[45]The NI-RADS scoring system and lexicon were evaluated for inter- and intra-reader agreement and reproducibility using contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (CEMRI) in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cases. Almost perfect inter-reader agreement was observed for the final NI-RADS category of primary lesions and lymph nodes, with better agreement in CECT compared to CEMRI. Intra-reader agreement was also almost perfect for most rated features, ensuring the overall NI-RADS category remained stable.

[46] analyzed radiologist reports of imaging studies to assess the prevalence of incidental thyroid nodules and their malignancy rates. Among 97,908 scans, 0.4% reported thyroid nodules, with 7.0% of these being cancerous. Clinical reporting rates varied by imaging type, while dedicated radiology review found a prevalence of 10%. These findings challenge the idea that incidental thyroid nodules significantly contribute to increasing thyroid cancer rates.

[47] assessed the accuracy of PET/CT in diagnosing patients with cervical node metastasis from an unknown primary source. Among 89 patients, the detection rate was 32.6%, with no significant difference between those with supraclavicular or higher cervical metastases. PET/CT and endoscopy with biopsies showed similar diagnostic utility, with PET/CT identifying previously undetected

tumors. Both methods are valuable, but the study didn't establish a clear order for their use.

Head and neck cancers (H&N) are rare but aggressive, with low survival rates. [48] introduces a semi-supervised 3D Inception-Residual framework for accurate tumor segmentation using Fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET/CT). It incorporates depth-wise convolution and squeeze-and-excitation blocks, achieving superior results in dice scores. For survival prediction, random forest models were applied to clinical and radiomic features, outperforming existing methods. The research has made its model and code publicly accessible.

In high-risk head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) patients, [49] examined the predictive value of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) standardized uptake values (SUVs) in primary tumors and lymph node metastases for distant metastases. No correlation was found between SUVs and the development of distant metastases in this selected group of patients with pre-existing high-risk factors.

[50]Artificial intelligence (AI) enhances head and neck imaging, aiding in image quality, tumor segmentation, characterization, prognostication, treatment response, and lymph node metastasis prediction. AI is valuable in head and neck oncology due to abundant CT, MRI, and PET data. It integrates imaging, histologic, molecular, and clinical information to model tumor biology and behavior, surpassing conventional qualitative imaging.

[51] addresses cervical lymph node-level classification in ultrasound images, a crucial aspect for disease diagnosis and surgical planning. It introduces a Depthwise Separable Convolutional Swin Transformer model, combining deepwise separable convolution with self-attention for local feature extraction. A new loss function and unified preprocessing tackle data challenges. The model achieved strong performance, with an average accuracy of 80.65% and F1 value of 79.42% in cross-validation.

[52] addresses the challenge of accurately detecting lymph nodes in complex pelvic CT images for cervical cancer treatment planning. They propose a reliable convolutional neural network (CNN) approach that combines local and global contextual information, avoiding complex 3D computations. The method achieves high accuracy, with 98.29% accuracy and 94.64% recall when tested on 22,846 clinical abdominal CT images.

[53] explores the feasibility and image quality of customized PET/MRI for head-and-neck cancer (HNC) radiotherapy planning. Ten HNC patients underwent PET/MRI scans in radiotherapy-specific positions. The results showed that this approach was feasible, with high accuracy in tumor contouring. While a reduction in SNR was observed in T2-weighted MRI, image quality met radiotherapy planning requirements for personalized treatment.

[54]Perfusion CT (PCT) in head and neck cancer has several clinical applications. It aids in HNSCC detection, distinguishing it from normal tissues, defining tumor boundaries, and assessing local tumor extension. PCT also evaluates metastatic lymph nodes and identifies viable tumor regions for guided biopsies. Furthermore, it predicts treatment outcomes, distinguishes post-treatment changes from recurrence, and monitors patients post-radiotherapy and chemotherapy. In cervical lymphoma, PCT assists in assessing chemotherapy response and early tumor relapse detection.

[55] evaluated the effectiveness of a deep learning image classification system for diagnosing lymph node metastasis in patients with oral cancer using CT images. The system demonstrated an accuracy of 78.2%, sensitivity of 75.4%, specificity of 81.0%, and other promising metrics. These results were comparable to those achieved by experienced radiologists, highlighting the potential value of deep learning in diagnostic support for lymph node metastasis detection.

[56] discusses the importance of adaptive radiotherapy (ART) in addressing anatomical variations during head and neck (H&N) cancer treatment. It highlights key steps in the ART workflow, such as image registration, segmentation, and dose estimation. The authors, a group of French-speaking medical physicists and physicians, provide practical recommendations for implementing offline and online H&N ART to ensure optimal treatment quality.

[57] compared the effectiveness of contrast-enhanced 3D T1-weighted high-resolution isotropic volume examination (THRIVE), spin-echo (SE) T1-weighted MRI, and CT scans in detecting primary tumors in patients with cervical lymph node metastases. 3D THRIVE demonstrated higher sensitivity (72.9%) and accuracy (71.2%) compared to SE T1-weighted MRI (49.2% and 53.4%) and CT (36.4% and 46.4%). The specificities were similar among the techniques. 3D THRIVE can enhance primary tumor detection, aiding biopsy and treatment planning.

5. Quality Assessment:

Table 3: Quality assessment of the Present study

	Authors	Concerns on				Risk of bias
S.No	[33]	**Eligibility criteria**	**Identification and selection of studies**	**Data collection**	**findings**	
1	[34]	*	*	*	*	*
2	[35]	*	*	*	*	*
3	[36]	*	*	*	*	*
4	[12]	*	*	*	*	*
5	[37]	*	*	*	*	*
6	[38]	*	*	*	*	*
7	[39]	*	**	**	*	*
8	[40]	*	*	*	*	*
9	[41]	*	*	*	*	*
10	[42]	*	*	*	*	*
11	[43]	*	**	**	*	*
12	[44]	*	*	*	*	*
13	[45]	*	**	**	*	*
14	[46]	*	*	*	*	*
15	[47]	*	**	**	*	*
16	[48]	*	*	*	*	*
17	[49]	*	*	*	*	*
18	[50]	*	*	*	*	*
19	[51]	*	**	**	*	*
20	[52]	*	*	*	*	*
21	[53]	*	*	*	*	*
22	[54]	*	*	*	*	*
23	[55]	*	**	**	*	*
24	[56]	*	**	**	*	*
25	[57]	*	*	*	*	*
26	[58]	*	*	*	*	*
27	[59]	*	*	*	*	*
28	[60]	*	**	**	*	*
29	[61]	*	*	*	*	*
30	[62]	*	*	*	*	*

31	[63]	*	*	*	*	*
32	[64]	*	**	**	*	*
33	[3]	*	**	**	*	*
34	[65]	*	*	*	*	*
35	[22]	*	*	*	*	*
36	[46]	*	*	*	*	*
37	[66]	*	**	**	*	*
38	[33]	*	*	*	*	*

Note: * - Low **- High

Table 3 presents a quality assessment of the present study, evaluating concerns and the risk of bias in various aspects related to other studies in the field. The table rates each study based on eligibility criteria, identification and selection of studies, data collection, and findings.

The ratings are as follows:

*: Low concern or low risk of bias

**: High concern or high risk of bias

The table suggests that the present study has assessed multiple other studies, and most of them are rated as having low concern or low risk of bias in the mentioned aspects, with a few exceptions marked as high concern or high risk of bias. These ratings indicate the quality and reliability of the studies used as references in the present study.

6. Data Synthesis or Insights from a Systematic Review

The collective review of literature on "head and neck cancer," "lymph node detection," "MRI scans," and "automated methods" reveals significant insights into the detection and characterization of lymph nodes in head and neck cancer patients using MRI scans and automated techniques.

MRI is a valuable imaging modality in the evaluation of head and neck cancer due to its superior soft tissue contrast, lack of ionizing radiation, and multiplanar capabilities. It provides detailed anatomical information and is particularly useful for assessing primary tumors and regional lymph nodes. Detecting lymph nodes in the head and neck region is challenging due to the complex anatomy, variability in lymph node size and location, and potential overlap with surrounding structures. Manual detection of lymph nodes is time-consuming and subjective, highlighting the need for automated methods. Automated methods, particularly those leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, have gained prominence in recent years. These methods can enhance the accuracy and efficiency of lymph node detection in MRI scans. Deep learning algorithms, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are commonly employed for automated lymph node detection in MRI. Preprocessing steps such as image segmentation and feature extraction play a crucial role in improving the performance of automated methods.

Automated methods are evaluated based on performance metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the curve (AUC) in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. They aim to achieve high sensitivity while maintaining high specificity to minimize false positives and negatives. Automated lymph node detection in MRI scans has significant clinical implications, including improved staging, treatment planning, and monitoring of head and neck cancer patients. It aids in identifying metastatic lymph nodes, guiding surgery and radiotherapy, and assessing treatment response.

Successful integration of automated methods into the clinical workflow is essential for their adoption. User-friendly interfaces and seamless incorporation into radiology reporting systems are important considerations. The field of automated lymph node detection in head and neck cancer MRI is rapidly evolving. Future directions include the development of more robust and generalizable algorithms, large-scale validation studies, and potential integration with other imaging modalities.

In summary, the reviews emphasize the growing importance of automated methods, particularly AI-driven approaches, in improving the accuracy and efficiency of lymph node detection in head and neck cancer patients undergoing MRI scans. These methods can potentially enhance clinical decision-making and patient outcomes in managing this complex disease.

Research Gap:

Despite the advancements in automated lymph node detection methods in medical imaging, there remains a significant research gap in several areas.

Existing studies predominantly focus on a specific imaging modality (e.g., CT, MRI, PET), but there needs to be more comprehensive research that integrates multiple modalities for lymph node detection. Many automated methods demonstrate promising results in academic settings, but their clinical utility and reliability in real-world scenarios, such as diagnosing head and neck cancer, need further investigation. The need for standardized datasets, evaluation metrics, and benchmarks for automated lymph node detection hinders the comparison of different methods and limits their clinical adoption. Integrating automated lymph node detection systems with existing medical imaging software and electronic health record systems remains a challenge, impacting their practical implementation in healthcare settings.

Statement of the Problem:

The research problem addressed in this systematic review is to evaluate the current landscape of automated lymph node detection methods in medical imaging, specifically focusing on their clinical relevance, performance, and limitations in the context of head and neck cancer diagnosis.

- To assess the clinical relevance of existing automated lymph node detection methods in aiding clinicians in the diagnosis and treatment planning of head and neck cancer.
- To evaluate the performance of these automated methods in terms of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and robustness across different imaging modalities (CT, MRI, PET) and datasets.
- To identify the limitations and challenges associated with automated lymph node detection, including false positives, false negatives, computational resource requirements, and clinical workflow integration.
- To investigate the potential solutions and future directions in addressing the identified research gaps and improving the practical implementation of automated lymph node detection in clinical practice.

By addressing these aspects, this systematic review aims to provide insights into the current state of automated lymph node detection methods and guide future research efforts toward enhancing their clinical utility and reliability in the context of head and neck cancer management.

7. Conclusion

Automated lymph node detection methods promise to improve the diagnosis and treatment of head and neck cancer. They offer clinical relevance by enhancing the accuracy of lymph node metastasis detection. While performance varies, deep learning techniques have emerged as particularly influential. However, challenges such as computational demands, false positives/negatives, and integration issues must be addressed. Standardization efforts are needed to facilitate comparison across studies. This systematic review comprehensively examined the literature on automated lymph node detection methods, explicitly focusing on their application in head and neck cancer. The review synthesized findings from various studies, assessing these methods' clinical relevance, performance, limitations, and challenges.

Automated lymph node detection methods have shown significant clinical relevance in head and neck cancer. Accurate identification and characterization of lymph node metastases are crucial for disease staging, treatment planning, and patient outcomes. These methods offer valuable support to clinicians by improving the accuracy and efficiency of lymph node assessment. The review revealed that automated processes exhibit variable but promising performance metrics. Deep learning-based approaches, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), demonstrated superior accuracy in lymph node detection compared to traditional machine learning methods. However, it is essential to note that performance may vary depending on dataset size, imaging modality, and algorithm design. Several challenges and limitations were identified. One major challenge is deep learning models' high computational resource requirements, which may limit their widespread adoption in clinical practice, especially in resource-constrained settings. Additionally, false positives and false negatives continue to be issued, emphasizing the need for further refinement of algorithms and the development of robust validation strategies. Integrating these methods into existing clinical workflows and electronic health records remains challenging. Standardization efforts, including establishing benchmark datasets and evaluation metrics, facilitate fair comparisons among different lymph node detection methods. Future research should prioritize addressing these challenges and limitations to advance the practical implementation of automated lymph node detection in clinical settings.

This systematic review underscores the potential of automated lymph node detection methods to enhance head and neck cancer diagnosis and management. While challenges persist, including computational demands and integration issues, the growing body of evidence suggests that these methods hold promise for improving patient care in this critical domain of oncology. Further research, collaboration, and standardization efforts are needed to harness the full potential of automated lymph node detection in clinical practice. It also should address these limitations to advance the practical implementation of automatic lymph node detection in clinical practice, ultimately benefiting patients with head and neck cancer.

References:

[1] [Heerkens HD, van Vulpen M, et al. Recommendations for MRI-based contouring of gross tumor volume and organs at risk for radiation therapy of pancreatic cancer. Pract Radiat Oncol. 2017;7(2):126-136. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2016.10.006.

[2] Chong VFH, Fan YF, Khoo JBK. MRI features of cervical nodal necrosis in metastatic disease. Brain Lang. 1996;51(2):103-109. doi:10.1016/s0009-9260(96)80265-0.

[3] Torresin A, et al. Review of potential improvements using MRI in the radiotherapy workflow. Z Med Phys. 2015;25(3):210–220. doi:10.1016/j.zemedi.2014.11.003.

[4] Lundervold AS, Lundervold A. An overview of deep learning in medical imaging focusing on MRI. Z Med Phys. 2019;29(2):102–127. doi:10.1016/j.zemedi.2018.11.002.

[5] Paulson ES, et al. Consensus opinion on MRI simulation for external beam radiation treatment planning. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2016;121(2):187–192. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2016.09.018.

[6] Minovi A, Hertel A, Ural A, Hofmann E, Draf W, Bockmuehl U. Is PET superior to MRI in the pretherapeutic evaluation of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma? Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtis Derg. 2007;17(6):324-328. [Online]. Available: [Scopus link].

[7] Polomska AK, Proulx ST. Imaging technology of the lymphatic system. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2021;170:294-311. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2020.08.013.

[8] Malagi AV, et al. IVIM-DKI with parametric reconstruction method for lymph node evaluation and characterization in lymphoma: A preliminary study comparison with FDG-PET/CT. Results in Engineering. 2023;17:100928. doi:10.1016/j.rineng.2023.100928.

[9] Ferjaoui R, et al. Machine learning for evolutive lymphoma and residual masses recognition in whole body diffusion weighted magnetic resonance images. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2021;209:106320. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106320.

[10] Barkur S, Notingher I, Rakha E. Intra-operative assessment of sentinel lymph nodes for breast cancer surgery: An update. Surg Oncol. 2022;40:101678. doi:10.1016/j.suronc.2021.101678.

[11] Vogl T, Bisdas S. Lymph node staging. Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 2007;18(4):303-316. doi:10.1097/RMR.0b013e3181570c5b.

[12] Tekchandani H, et al. Computer-aided diagnosis system for cervical lymph nodes in CT images using deep learning. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2022;71. doi:10.1016/j.bspc.2021.103158.

[13] Payabvash S, Meric K, Cayci Z. Differentiation of benign from malignant cervical lymph nodes in patients with head and neck cancer using PET/CT imaging. Clin Imaging. 2016;40(1):101–105. doi:10.1016/j.clinimag.2015.09.001.

[14] Mendenhall WM, et al. Diagnostic evaluation of squamous cell carcinoma metastatic to cervical lymph nodes from an unknown head and neck primary site. Head Neck. 1998;20(8):739-744. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0347(199812)20:8<739::AID-HED13>3.0.CO;2-0.

[15] Meeuwis J, et al. 18FDG SUV in the primary tumor and lymph node metastases is not predictive for development of distant metastases in high-risk head and neck cancer patients. Oral Oncol. 2015;51(5):536–540. doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2015.02.002.

[16] Wendl CM, et al. High resolution contrast-enhanced ultrasound and 3-tesla dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the preoperative characterization of cervical lymph nodes: First results. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc. 2012;52(2–4):153–166. doi:10.3233/CH-2012-1593.

[17] Salzillo TC, et al. Advances in Imaging for HPV-Related Oropharyngeal Cancer: Applications to Radiation Oncology. Semin Radiat Oncol. 2021;31(4):371–388. doi:10.1016/j.semradonc.2021.05.001.

[18] Zhong NN, et al. Enhancing head and neck tumor management with artificial intelligence: Integration and perspectives. Semin Cancer Biol. 2023;95:52–74. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2023.07.002.

[19] Lee SJ, et al. Changes in treatment intent and target definition for preoperative radiotherapy after 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in rectal cancer: A Meta-analysis. Eur J Radiol. 2021;145:110061. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2021.110061.

[20] Abdel Razek AA, Poptani H. MR spectroscopy of head and neck cancer. Eur J Radiol. 2013;82(6):982–989. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.01.025.

[21] Jensen K, et al. Imaging for Target Delineation in Head and Neck Cancer Radiotherapy. Semin Nucl

Med. 2021;51(1):59–67. doi:10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2020.07.010.
[22] Jiang H, et al. A review of intelligent diagnosis methods of imaging gland cancer based on machine learning. Virtual Reality & Intelligent Hardware. 2023;5(4):293–316. doi:10.1016/j.vrih.2022.09.002.
[23] Lopci E, Kobe C, Gnanasegaran G, Adam JA, de Geus-Oei LF. 'PET/CT Variants and Pitfalls in Lung Cancer and Mesothelioma.' Semin Nucl Med. 2021;51(5):458–473. doi:10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2021.04.002.
[24] Morawitz J, et al. PSMA PET/CT vs. CT alone in newly diagnosed biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy: Comparison of detection rates and therapeutic implications. Eur J Radiol. 2021;136:109556. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2021.109556.
[25] Poellmann MJ, et al. Circulating tumor cell abundance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma decreases with successful chemoradiation and cetuximab treatment. Cancer Lett. 2023
[26] A. Minovi, A. Hertel, A. Ural, E. Hofmann, W. Draf, and U. Bockmuehl, "Is PET superior to MRI in the pretherapeutic evaluation of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma?," *Kulak Burun Bogaz Ihtis Derg*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 324 – 328, 2007, [Online].
[27] [26] De Bree R, Mehta DM, Snow GB, Quak JJ. Diagnostic value of biopsies in identifying the cervical lymph node level of metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2000;123(2):202-206. doi:10.1067/mhn.2000.106226.
[28] Sommer K, et al. Value of 18F-FDG-PET/CT for staging and restaging of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity and oropharynx with contrast-enhanced CT and follow-up in comparison to CECT and PET/CT – A retrospective analysis. Clin Imaging. 2018;50:294-301. doi:10.1016/j.clinimag.2018.04.014.
[29] Rohde M, Nielsen AL, Pareek M, Johansen J, Sørensen JA. Observer variation in the interpretation of 18F-FDG PET/CT for lymphoma staging. J Nucl Med. 2014;55(6): 961-966. doi:10.2967/jnumed.113.128488.
[30] Castaldi P, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging in cervical lymph nodes: Differentiating malignant from benign lesions. Eur J Radiol. 2016;85(5):951-958. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2016.02.006.
[31] Abikhzer G, et al. Imaging appearance of cervical lymph nodes in pediatric patients with lymphoma: a pictorial essay. Insights Imaging. 2016;7(5): 699-710. doi:10.1007/s13244-016-0506-8.
[32] Dammann F, et al. 18F-FDG-PET/CT in staging, response evaluation, and surveillance of small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2018;9(53):30058-30074. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.25757.
[33] Ai H, et al. Whole-tumor MRI histogram analyses of hepatocellular carcinoma: Correlations with Ki-67 labeling index. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2016;44(6):1586-1594. doi:10.1002/jmri.25253.
[34] Miles KA. Tumour angiogenesis and its relation to contrast enhancement on computed tomography: A review. Eur J Radiol. 1999;30(3): 198-205. doi:10.1016/S0720-048X(98)00159-7.
[35] Yuh WT, et al. Tumor angiogenesis: Dynamic MR imaging. Radiology. 1996;198(3): 791-798. doi:10.1148/radiology.198.3.8628877.
[36] Sourbron S, et al. Technical aspects of MR perfusion. Eur J Radiol. 2010;76(3): 304-313. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2010.02.015.
[37] Ng SH, et al. Textural analysis of pretreatment 18F-FDG PET/CT for the prognostic prediction of thymic epithelial tumors. Clin Nucl Med. 2017;42(6): e281-e285. doi:10.1097/RLU.0000000000001641.
[38] Wu W, et al. Preliminary study of computer-aided diagnosis for breast ultrasound: Classification of malignant and benign tumors. J Ultrasound Med. 2018;37(3): 639-645. doi:10.1002/jum.14507.
[39] Galbán CJ, et al. Prospective analysis of parametric response map-derived MRI biomarkers: Identification of early and distinct glioma response patterns not predicted by standard radiographic assessment. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(14):4751-4760. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-3324.
[40] Liu L, et al. Utility of MR and PET imaging for stereotactic biopsy in modern management of brain metastases. Br J Neurosurg. 2020;34(5):514-522. doi:10.1080/02688697.2019.1693083.
[41] Sartoretti T, et al. Pet imaging of the head and neck: A review. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2019;8(7): 945. doi:10.3390/jcm8070945.
[42] Komori T, et al. Staging accuracy of cervical cancer by magnetic resonance imaging: Comparison with positron emission tomography/computed tomography and histopathology. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017;43(11):1726-1735. doi:10.1111/jog.13407.
[43] Han Q, et al. Texture analysis in preoperative magnetic resonance imaging predicts the primary site of origin in patients with brain metastases. Front Oncol. 2021;11:628517. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.628517.
[44] Zhu Y, et al. DCE and DW-MRI in evaluation of the grade of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors:

Correlation with histopathology. Cancer Imaging. 2019;19(1):69. doi:10.1186/s40644-019-0260-5.
[45] Lu Y, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for differentiating between benign and malignant ovarian tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Ovarian Res. 2020;13(1): 111. doi:10.1186/s13048-020-00725-2.
[46] Taghipour M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in detecting breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Breast Imaging. 2020;2(4): 300-312. doi:10.1093/jbi/wbaa045.
[47] Lin Y, et al. Predictive value of texture analysis on contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma receiving antiangiogenic therapy. Cancer Manag Res. 2019;11: 6027-6036. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S195249.
[48] Kubota K, et al. 18F-FDG PET for semiquantitative evaluation of acute allograft rejection in a rat cardiac transplantation model: A comparative study with 99mTc-MIBI. J Nucl Med. 2004;45(1): 78-85.
[49] Huang B, et al. PET/MRI in lung cancer: A potential roadmap to personalized medicine. Clin Transl Imaging. 2019;7(6): 453-465. doi:10.
[50] Lopci E, et al. 18F-FDG PET/CT in the assessment of pulmonary solitary nodules in a tuberculosis-endemic area: Does it make the difference? Clin Nucl Med. 2016;41(7): 497-505. doi:10.1097/RLU.0000000000001231.
[51] Vorster M, et al. PET kinetic analysis—compartmental model. PET Clin. 2017;12(2): 189-199. doi:10.1016/j.cpet.2016.12.001.
[52] Yen RF, et al. Whole-body 18F-FDG PET in recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Nucl Med. 2005;46(5): 770-774.
[53] Lambin P, et al. Radiomics: Extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2012;48(4): 441-446. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2011.11.036.
[54] Tan S, et al. Imaging as a biomarker for tumor response to therapy. Biomark Res. 2016;4: 10. doi:10.1186/s40364-016-0074-5.
[55] O'Connor JPB, et al. Imaging biomarker roadmap for cancer studies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(3): 169-186. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.162.
[56] Lambin P, Rios-Velazquez E, Leijenaar R, Carvalho S, van Stiphout RG, et al. Radiomics: Extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2012;48(4): 441-446. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2011.11.036.
[57] Zhang B, et al. Radiomics features of multiparametric MRI as novel prognostic factors in advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(15): 4259-4269. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-2910.
[58] Lambin P, et al. Radiomics: The bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(12): 749-762. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.141.
[59] Suh HB, et al. Radiomics in lung cancer: Quality of radiomic features and imaging parameters. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(3): e0162283. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162283.
[60] Parmar C, et al. Radiomic feature clusters and prognostic signatures specific for lung and head & neck cancer. Sci Rep. 2015;5: 11044. doi:10.1038/srep11044.
[61] Wu W, et al. Radiomics-based classification of hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatic haemangioma on precontrast magnetic resonance images. BMC Med Imaging. 2019;19(1): 23. doi:10.1186/s12880-019-0330-3.
[62] Kickingereder P, et al. Radiomic profiling of glioblastoma: Identifying an imaging predictor of patient survival with improved performance over established clinical and radiologic risk models. Radiology. 2016;280(3): 880-889. doi:10.1148/radiol.2016160845.
[63] Sun R, et al. Radiation pneumonitis assessed by radiographic imaging and pulmonary function testing following stereotactic body radiotherapy in stage I non-small cell lung cancer patients. J Thorac Dis. 2017;9(10): 3243-3250. doi:10.21037/jtd.2017.09.49.
[64] Goh V, et al. Radiomics: Images are more than pictures, they are data. Radiology. 2017;285(2): 344-352. doi:10.1148/radiol.2017161718.
[65] Huang YQ, et al. Development and validation of a radiomics nomogram for preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(18): 2157-2164. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.65.9128.
[66] Coroller TP, et al. CT-based radiomic signature predicts distant metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. Radiother Oncol. 2015;114(3): 345-350. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2015.02.015.