International Journal of
INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING

ISSN:2147-6799 www.ijisae.org Original Research Paper

Exploring Innovations in Skin Cancer Detection: A Comprehensive Survey using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Approaches

Bhagyashri F. More[1], Snehal Bhosale[2]

Submitted: 28/01/2024 **Revised**: 06/03/2024 **Accepted**: 14/03/2024

Abstract: Skin cancer is one of the most prevalent types of cancer in the world, and prompt detection is essential to the effectiveness of therapy. The visual assessment made by dermatologists is a major component of conventional diagnostic approaches, which introduces subjectivity and the possibility of inaccuracy. Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques have emerged as promising tools to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of skin cancer detection. This survey paper provides a comprehensive overview of the recent advancements in the application of ML and DL for skin cancer detection. We analyze the commonly employed ML algorithms, including support vector machines (SVMs), decision trees, and random forests, as well as their performance in skin cancer classification tasks. We then focus on the transformational impact of DL, in analyzing dermoscopic and photographic images for skin lesion identification and segmentation. We systematically review the state-of-the-art ML and DL models, evaluating their accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and computational efficiency and discuss the critical factors influencing model performance, such as dataset quality, feature engineering strategies, and hyper parameter optimization. Additionally, we address the challenges and limitations of current ML and DL approaches, including issues of data scarcity, model interpretability, and clinical validation. The paper concludes by identifying promising future research directions, emphasizing the need for larger and more diverse datasets, the development of explainable AI models, and the integration of ML and DL systems into clinical workflows to facilitate early and accurate skin identification of skin cancer, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes.

Keywords: *Skin Cancer Detection, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Networks, Dermoscopy, Image Analysis, Feature Engineering, Model Evaluation, Clinical Translation*

1. Introduction

Skin cancer, exacerbated by prolonged exposure to harmful UV rays, climate change, and depletion of the ozone layer,, has experienced a notable increase in recent decades, making it the most prevalent cancer globally. In 2023, 97,160 Americans received a diagnosis of skin cancer, representing 5.0% of all cancer cases in the United States. Furthermore, 7,990 individuals lost their lives to skin cancer, comprising 1.3% of the total cancer-related deaths in the United States [1]. Skin cancer encompasses Non-Melanoma and Melanoma types, with Melanoma accounting for 132,000 cases in 2018 and Non-Melanoma exceeding a million cases. Roughly one in three cancer cases is categorized as skin cancer, and according to the World Health Organization, one in five Americans is expected to experience skin cancer during their lifetime. There could be a global increase in 4,500 occurrences of melanoma and 300,000 cases of non-melanoma skin cancer with every 10% reduction in Earth's ozone layer [2]. Since Melanoma is accountable for 75 percent of all skin cancer deaths, early detection becomes imperative.

Current diagnostic methods rely on manual inspection, underscoring the importance of early-stage detection for cost and complexity reduction. The incorporation of a deep convolutional neural network (CNN), an Artificial Intelligence tool, presents a more efficient approach to analyzing and classifying skin cancer types, ensuring swift and accurate assessment of skin lesions [3,4].

Recent research has delved into the computational analysis of dermoscopic images for skin lesion diagnosis. While initially challenging and limited in accuracy [5-8], advancements in machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) have reignited interest in these diagnostic tools [9-14]. Studies leverage pre-processing and segmentation techniques to extract geometric information, such as size and shape, for skin cancer image classification [15]. Challenges like segmentation accuracy persist, and pixel value analysis for tumor nature prediction is increasingly implemented through deep learning algorithms, particularly Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models known for capturing fine-grained variability in skin lesion images [16-18]. Ongoing work focuses on addressing challenges, including optimization algorithms [19] for multi-class

[1]*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Symbiosis International (Deemed University) (SIU) Lavale, Pune 412115, Maharashtra, India*
ORCID ID : 0009-0005-1711-640X
[2] *Department of Electronics and Tele-Communication, Symbiosis Institute of Technology, Symbiosis International (Deemed University) (SIU) Lavale, Pune 412115, Maharashtra, India*
ORCID ID : 0000-0002-0275-3554
[2]*Corresponding Author Email: snehal.bhosale@sitpune.edu.in*

skin lesion image segmentation and classification using interpretable machine learning techniques [20].

With an emphasis on their use in medical image analysis, this paper explores the revolutionary potential of ML and DL in the context of skin cancer detection. The goal of this study is to clarify the advantages of incorporating cutting-edge computational tools and add to the continuing discussion on skin cancer screening methods. We uncover gaps and limitations in current research by synthesizing ideas from the literature, which paves the way for a fresh and impactful method. Our study makes use of state-of-the-art ML and DL algorithms designed specifically for medical picture analysis, along with a carefully selected dataset. The literature review, methods, experimental results, and thorough discussions on the implications of our findings are covered in full in the following sections of this study. The rest of the work is set up like this: A survey of the literature is provided in Section II, and the results and conclusions from the literature survey are covered in Section III. Section IV provides future directions for the paper's conclusion.

2. Literature Survey

Machine-learning systems leveraging dermoscopic images have become increasingly prominent in recent decades. They play a crucial role in supporting dermatologists in clinical decision-making and identifying highly suspicious cases. These intelligent systems serve as valuable supplementary tools, particularly for less-experienced clinicians, offering initial assessments and contributing to an improved patient follow-up process [21, 22]. The systems can be generally classified into two primary classes according to how well dermoscopic images are used to extract significant characteristics.

One class involves medical procedures for diagnosis, automatically extracting features such as symmetry, various colors, and atypical differential structures. The other class makes use of machine learning to find statistical patterns in texture and color aspects of images [23]. Numerous works have concentrated on advancing machine learning techniques with sophisticated feature extraction, incorporating methods like the ABCD rule and a 3-point check-list. Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNNs) have emerged as a pivotal tool for directly generating features from images.

Saba et al. [14] introduce an automated approach utilizing a DCNN for skin lesion detection and recognition, attaining high accuracies of 98.4%, 95.1%, and 94.8% on various datasets. A Wiener filter and adaptive histogram equalization are used as pre-processing steps in a method by Ramya et al. [24] before active contour segmentation. Using an SVM classifier, features extracted using GLCM are identified with 90% sensitivity, 95% accuracy, and 85% specificity. Using methods for image enhancement and segmentation such as median filtering, Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization, and Normalised Otsu's Segmentation, Premaladha and Ravichandran [25] present an intelligent system for melanoma classification. The system achieves a classification accuracy of 93% using deep learning-based neural networks and hybrid AdaBoost SVM. Bareiro Paniagua et al. [26] present a technique involving preprocessing, lesion segmentation, feature extraction based on the ABCD rule, and lesion classification using SVM. Using a dataset of 104 dermoscopy pictures, the suggested technique achieves 90.63% accuracy, 95% sensitivity, and 83.33% specificity.

Using CNN on dermoscopic pictures, Khan et al. [27] achieve an accuracy of 74.76% for early-stage melanoma skin cancer detection. This is in contrast to typical handmade characteristics. They use texture and color features. Dai et al. [28] introduce a CNN model pre-trained on 10,015 smart phone images, reducing latency, conserving power, and enhancing privacy with a model accuracy of 75.2%.

Methods based on Deep learning:

Majtner et al. [29] used a novel CNN approach to improve classification results by pre-processing and extracting features using CNN techniques. The ISIC dataset was utilized, which included 379 test samples of benign and malignant tumors and 900 training samples. The technique, which used AlexNet for feature extraction, down sampling, and grayscale conversion, produced the best accuracy (86%) and specificity (99.9%) when KNN was used as the classifier. With the intention of minimizing mistakes and expediting the diagnosis of melanoma, Vipin et al. [30] offered a two-phase process for segmentation and classification. The system made use of the 13,000 picture ISIC dataset, which was cleaned up to 7,353 images. Segmentation was performed using symmetric U-Net, and 88.7% accuracy and 91% recall were obtained with a deep residual network that included CNN and recurrent neural network approaches.

Using a dataset of 170 non-dermoscopic pictures, Nasr-Esfahani et al. [31] created a CNN for preprocessing, feature extraction, and classification. Convolutional and max-pooling layers were integrated in the CNN design, which led to 81% accuracy and 80% specificity in the classification of nevus and melanoma. FCN and SegNet were combined in a completely CNN with auto encoder-decoder designs by Attia et al. [32]. Their model, which was tested on 375 photos from the ISBI 2016 challenge and trained on 900 lesion images, had an amazing 98% accuracy and 94% specificity.

The CNN Malignant Lesion Detection (CMLD) architecture was presented by Mukherjee et al. [33], utilizing a combination of datasets from Dermofit and MEDNODE. Accuracy values for the model were 90.14% and 90.58% for the separate datasets, and 83.07% for the combined dataset. In an effort to identify skin cancer early on, Sanketh et al. [34] suggested a CNN with two convolutional layers and two max-pool layers. Their model, which was trained on 2,719 photos, produced an ideal result of 98%. Using a dataset of 2,967 images a CNN model with different strides and max-pooling layers was published by Rahi et al. [35], and it attained an accuracy of 84.76%. Using the PH2 dataset, Gulati et al. [36] used pre-trained networks (AlexNet and VGG16) for feature extraction and transfer learning. The most successful model was VGG16, which achieved 97.5% accuracy and 96.87% specificity. Using an ISIC dataset, Daghrir et al. [37] presented a hybrid approach that combines CNN with traditional machine learning methods (KNN and SVM). With nine layers in its architecture, CNN offered the highest accuracy rate per individual at 85.5%., while the hybrid approach yielded an accuracy of 88.4%.

Comparative analysis

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of Skin Cancer detection methods

Methodology	***Datasets***	***Performance Metrics***
DCNN[14]	PH2, ISBI 2016, ISBI 2017	98.4% (PH2), 95.1% (ISBI 2016), 94.8% (ISBI 2017)
Active Contour Segmentation, GLCM, SVM[24]	ISIC	95% (Accuracy), 90% (Sensitivity), 85% (Specificity)
Median Filtering, CLAHE, Normalized Otsu's, Neural Networks, AdaBoost SVM[25]	Skin Cancer and Benign Tumor Image Atlas	91.7% (Accuracy), 94.1% (Sensitivity), 88.7% (Specificity), 0.83 (Kappa)
Otsu's Segmentation Normalised + Hybrid Adaboost-SVM[25]	Various repositories (992 images)	91.70% (Accuracy)
ABCD Rule, SVM[26]	PH2	90.63% (Accuracy), 95% (Sensitivity), 83.33% (Specificity)
CNN[28]	Multi-Source Dermatoscopic Images	75.2% (Accuracy), 0.71 (Validation Loss)
FCRN[38]	ISIC	0.857% (Accuracy), 0.490% (Sensitivity), 0.961% (Specificity), 0.729% (Avg Precision)
ANN[39]	ISIC	74.76% (Accuracy), 57.56% (Validation Loss)
SVM [40]	ISIC (5341 images)	96.90% (Accuracy)
GrabCut technique for segmentation[41]	Dermquest (80 images)	80.00% (Accuracy)
Mobile net model [42]	PH2	92.67%(Accuracy)

Otsu threshold for segmentation[43]	Dataset of 1000 images	92.70%(Accuracy)
GLCM and fuzzy mutual information are used for feature extraction [44]	Database from the Royal Prince Alfred Hospital's Sydney Melanoma Diagnostic Centre.	89% (Accuracy)
DCNN [45]	PH2 ISIC 2017	95.00% (Accuracy) 95.00% (Accuracy)
FCNs built using GoogleNet and VGG16[46]	ISBI 2016	88.92% (Accuracy)
Fuzzy C-Mean Clustering with Deep RCNN [47]	ISBI 2016	94.20% (Accuracy)

3. Discussion

The comparative analysis highlights the advantages and disadvantages of various ML and DL techniques while illuminating the heterogeneous landscape of skin cancer detection techniques. High accuracy rates, as those attained by SVMs and DCNNs, highlight how these technologies might improve diagnostic accuracy. Nonetheless, there are still obstacles that must be resolved, such as the requirement for bigger and more varied datasets and the necessity of addressing model interpretability and clinical validation concerns. The differences in outcomes between datasets also highlight how crucial benchmark datasets and established assessment measures are to ensuring fair comparisons. Additionally, the examination of various segmentation strategies, pre-processing techniques, and hybrid approaches emphasizes the continuous work to improve and enhance skin cancer detection models.

4. Conclusion and Future Work

In conclusion, this survey study thoroughly investigates the landscape of skin cancer detection utilizing ML & DL methodologies. The shift from conventional diagnostic techniques to advanced computational methods is indicative of the increasing role that technology plays in the early diagnosis of cancer. While deep learning advances, particularly with CNN architectures, offer encouraging results, the area still confronts obstacles that call for cooperation between the machine learning and medical communities. The critical evaluation of current models, datasets, and techniques highlights the necessity of ongoing system enhancement and development for the detection of skin cancer. Incorporating this cutting-edge technology into clinical workflows ultimately has the potential to have a major effect on patient outcomes.

References

[1] M. Naqvi, Syed Qasim Gilani, T. Syed, O. Marques, and H.-C. Kim, “Skin Cancer Detection Using Deep Learning—A Review,” vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1911–1911, May 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13111911.

[2] V. Singh, V. K. Asari, and R. Rajasekaran, “A Deep Neural Network for Early Detection and Prediction of Chronic Kidney Disease,” Diagnostics, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 116, Jan. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12010116.

[3] P. M. M. Pereira et al., “Skin lesion classification enhancement using border-line features – The melanoma vs nevus problem,” Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. 57, p. 101765, Mar. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2019.101765.

[4] A. Esteva et al., “Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks,” Nature, vol. 542, no. 7639, pp. 115–118, Jan. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056.

[5] M. Binder, H. Kittler, A. Seeber, A. Steiner, H. Pehamberger, and K. Wolff, “Epiluminescence microscopy-based classification of pigmented skin lesions using computerized image analysis and an artificial neural network,” Melanoma Research, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 261–266, Jun. 1998, doi: https://doi.org/10.1097/00008390-199806000-00009.

[6] H. Kittler, H. Pehamberger, K. Wolff, and M. Binder, “Diagnostic accuracy of dermoscopy,” The Lancet. Oncology, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 159–65, 2002, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(02)00679-4.

[7] X. Fan, H. Sun, Z. Yuan, Z. Li, R. Shi, and N. Ghadimi, “High Voltage Gain DC/DC Converter Using Coupled Inductor and VM Techniques,” IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 131975–131987, Jan. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3002902.

[8] N. B. Linsangan, J. J. Adtoon, and J. L. Torres, “Geometric Analysis of Skin Lesion for Skin Cancer Using Image Processing,” 2018 IEEE 10th International Conference on Humanoid, Nanotechnology, Information Technology,Communication and Control, Environment and Management (HNICEM), Nov. 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/hnicem.2018.8666296.

[9] T. Saba, “Recent advancement in cancer detection using machine learning: Systematic survey of decades, comparisons and challenges,” Journal of Infection and Public Health, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1274–1289, Sep. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.06.033.

[10] M. I. Sharif, J. P. Li, J. Naz, and I. Rashid, “A comprehensive review on multi-organs tumor detection based on machine learning,” Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 131, pp. 30–37, Mar. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2019.12.006.

[11] H. Alquran et al., “The melanoma skin cancer detection and classification using support vector machine,” IEEE Xplore, Oct. 01, 2017. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8257738 (accessed Mar. 08, 2020).

[12] H. Nahata and S. P. Singh, “Deep learning solutions for skin cancer detection and diagnosis,” in Learning and analytics in intelligent systems, 2020, pp. 159–182. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-40850-3_8.

[13] K. M. Hosny, M. A. Kassem, and M. M. Foaud, “Skin Cancer Classification using Deep Learning and Transfer Learning,” IEEE Xplore, Dec. 01, 2018. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8641762 (accessed Jan. 12, 2022).

[14] T. Saba, M. A. Khan, A. Rehman, and S. L. Marie-Sainte, “Region Extraction and Classification of Skin Cancer: A Heterogeneous framework of Deep CNN Features Fusion and Reduction,” Journal of Medical Systems, vol. 43, no. 9, Jul. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-019-1413-3.

[15] S. Chatterjee, D. Dey, S. Munshi, and S. Gorai, “Extraction of features from cross correlation in space and frequency domains for classification of skin lesions,” Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. 53, p. 101581, Aug. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2019.101581.

[16] J. Arevalo, A. Cruz-Roa, V. Arias, E. Romero, and F. A. González, “An unsupervised feature learning framework for basal cell carcinoma image analysis,” Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 131–145, Jun. 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2015.04.004.

[17] D. Bi, D. Zhu, Fatima Rashid Sheykhahmad, and M. Qiao, “Computer-aided skin cancer diagnosis based on a New meta-heuristic algorithm combined with support vector method,” Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. 68, pp. 102631–102631, Jul. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2021.102631.

[18] A. Esteva et al., “Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks,” Nature, vol. 542, no. 7639, pp. 115–118, Jan. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056.

[19] S. Nematzadeh, F. Kiani, M. Torkamanian-Afshar, and N. Aydin, “Tuning hyperparameters of machine learning algorithms and deep neural networks using metaheuristics: A bioinformatics study on biomedical and biological cases,” Computational Biology and Chemistry, vol. 97, p. 107619, Apr. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2021.107619.

[20] S. M. Thomas, J. G. Lefevre, G. Baxter, and N. A. Hamilton, “Interpretable Deep Learning Systems for Multi-Class Segmentation and Classification of Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer,” Medical Image Analysis, p. 101915, Nov. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101915.

[21] Barata C, Celebi ME, Marques JS. A survey of feature extraction in der-moscopy image analysis of skin cancer. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform2018;23(3):1096–109.

[22] Rehman A, Khan MA, Mehmood Z, Saba T, Sardaraz M, Rashid M. Microscopicmelanoma detection and classification: A framework of pixel-based fusionand multilevel features reduction. Microsc Res Tech 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23429.

[23] T. Saba, Saleh Al-Zahrani, A. Rehman, and Saud Islamic, “Expert System for Offline Clinical Guidelines and Treatment,” Jan. 2012.

[24] V. Ramya, J. Navarajan, R. Prathipa, and L. Kumar, “Detection of melanoma skin cancer using digital camera images,” ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 10, pp. 3082–3085, 2015.

[25] J. Premaladha and K. S. Ravichandran, “Novel Approaches for Diagnosing Melanoma Skin Lesions Through Supervised and Deep Learning Algorithms,” Journal of Medical Systems, vol. 40, no. 4, p. 96, Apr. 2016, doi:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-016-0460-2.

[26] Bareiro Paniagua, L. R., Leguizamón Correa, D. N., Pinto-Roa, D. P., Vázquez Noguera, J. L., & Salgueiro Toledo, L. A. (2016). Computerized Medical Diagnosis of Melanocytic Lesions based on the ABCD approach. CLEI Electronic Journal, 19(2), 6-6.

[27] S. A. Khan et al., "Lungs nodule detection framework from computed tomography images using support vector machine," Microscopy Research and Technique, vol. 82, no. 8, pp. 1256–1266, Apr. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23275.

[28] X. Dai, I. Spasic, B. Meyer, S. Chapman, and F. Andres, "Machine Learning on Mobile: An On-device Inference App for Skin Cancer Detection," 2019 Fourth International Conference on Fog and Mobile Edge Computing (FMEC), Jun. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/fmec.2019.8795362.

[29] T. Majtner, S. Yildirim-Yayilgan, and J. Y. Hardeberg, "Optimised deep learning features for improved melanoma detection," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 78, no. 9, pp. 11883–11903, Oct. 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-018-6734-6..

[30] V. Vipin, Malaya Kumar Nath, V. Sreejith, Nikhil Francis Giji, A. Ramesh, and Meera Nair M, "Detection of Melanoma using Deep Learning Techniques: A Review," 2021 International Conference on Communication, Control and Information Sciences (ICCISc), Jun. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/iccisc52257.2021.9484861.

[31] E. Nasr-Esfahani et al., "Melanoma detection by analysis of clinical images using convolutional neural network," IEEE Xplore, Aug. 01, 2016. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7590963 (accessed Feb. 03, 2022).

[32] M. I. Attia, A. Khosravi, Saeid Nahavandi, and Anousha Yazdabadi, "Skin melanoma segmentation using recurrent and convolutional neural networks," Jan. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/isbi.2017.7950522.

[33] S. Mukherjee, Akshat Malu, A.R. Balamurali, and P. Bhattacharyya, "TwiSent: A Multistage System for Analyzing Sentiment in Twitter," arXiv (Cornell University), Sep. 2012.

[34] Ravva Sai Sanketh, M. Bala, N. Reddy, and P. Kumar, "Melanoma Disease Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks," May 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/iciccs48265.2020.9121075.

[35] Md. M. I. Rahi, F. T. Khan, M. T. Mahtab, A. K. M. Amanat Ullah, Md. G. R. Alam, and Md. A. Alam, "Detection Of Skin Cancer Using Deep Neural Networks," 2019 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Computer Science and Data Engineering (CSDE), Dec. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/csde48274.2019.9162400.

[36] H. Nahata and S. P. Singh, "Deep learning solutions for skin cancer detection and diagnosis," in Learning and analytics in intelligent systems, pp. 159–182, 2020.

doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-40850-3_8.

[37] J. Daghrir, L. Tlig, M. Bouchouicha and M. Sayadi, " Melanoma skin cancer detection using deep learning and classical machine learning techniques: A hybrid approach " in 2020 5th international conference on advanced technologies for signal and image processing (ATSIP), pp. 1-5, 2020.

[38] Y. Li and L. Shen, "Skin Lesion Analysis towards Melanoma Detection Using Deep Learning Network," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 556, Feb. 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/s18020556.

[39] A. Aima and A. K. Sharma, "Predictive Approach for Melanoma Skin Cancer Detection using CNN," SSRN Electronic Journal, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3352407.

[40] P. Banasode, M. Patil, and N. Ammanagi, "A Melanoma Skin Cancer Detection Using Machine Learning Technique: Support Vector Machine," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 1065, no. 1, p. 012039, Feb. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1065/1/012039.

[41] S. Mustafa, A. B. Dauda, and M. Dauda, "Image processing and SVM classification for melanoma detection," 2017 International Conference on Computing Networking and Informatics (ICCNI), Oct. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/iccni.2017.8123777.

[42] D. De a Rodrigues, R. F. Ivo, S. C. Satapathy, S. Wang, D. J. Hemanth, and P. P. R. Filho, "A new approach for classification skin lesion based on transfer learning, deep learning, and IoT system," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 136, pp. 8–15, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.patrec.2020.05.019.

[43] A. Victor and M. Ghalib, "Automatic Detection and Classification of Skin Cancer," International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 444–451, Jun. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.22266/ijies2017.0630.50.

[44] A. Masood, A. Al- Jumaily, and K. Anam, “Self-supervised learning model for skin cancer diagnosis,” 2015 7th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering (NER), Apr. 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/ner.2015.7146798.

[45] A. A. Adegun and S. Viriri, “Deep Learning-Based System for Automatic Melanoma Detection,” IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 7160–7172, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2962812.

[46] K. Jayapriya and I. J. Jacob, “Hybrid fully convolutional networks-based skin lesion segmentation and melanoma detection using deep feature,” International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology, Nov. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/ima.22377.

[47] N. Nida, A. Irtaza, A. Javed, M. H. Yousaf, and M. T. Mahmood, “Melanoma lesion detection and segmentation using deep region based convolutional neural network and fuzzy C-means clustering,” International Journal of Medical Informatics, vol. 124, pp. 37–48, Apr. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.01.005.