

Sensor Fusion for Enhanced Robotic Perception

¹M. Navya, ²T. Rama Krishna, ³Navya Padma Priya, ⁴Mohammed Bilquis.

Submitted: 07/05/2023 **Revised:** 16/07/2023 **Accepted:**05/08/2023

Abstract: In autonomous robots, attaining accurate navigation is a significant problem, requiring improvements in sensor fusion methodologies. This paper examines the crucial function of Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) in augmenting sensor fusion for autonomous navigation. The urgent challenge of attaining precise and instantaneous navigation in fluctuating situations has necessitated the development of novel technologies. The current literature indicates a research deficiency in the seamless integration of MEMS sensors for effective navigation. Traditional sensor fusion techniques often encounter constraints in managing varied and rapidly evolving environmental variables; however, MEMS provide a viable solution to these obstacles. The compact dimensions, little power use, and elevated sensitivity of MEMS sensors render them optimal for delivering comprehensive and dependable data for navigation applications. This study utilizes a full integration of MEMS sensors, including accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers, inside a single sensor fusion architecture. This framework utilizes sophisticated algorithms to effectively integrate data from several sensors, alleviating the limits of individual sensors and improving overall accuracy. The use of MEMS sensors seeks to enhance the comprehensive awareness of the robot's environment, hence permitting superior decision-making in navigation tasks. The findings of our investigation demonstrate a significant improvement in the precision and efficacy of autonomous navigation inside dynamic situations. MEMS-enhanced sensor fusion demonstrates efficacy in overcoming the hurdles presented by unexpected terrains and obstructions. The robot, outfitted with MEMS sensors, exhibits improved flexibility and reactivity, highlighting its potential for practical applications.

Keywords: MEMS, Sensor Fusion, Autonomous Navigation, Robotics

INTRODUCTION

In the ever changing realm of robotics, autonomous Navigation is a vital domain with significant implications.

consequences for many applications, including industrial automation pertaining to unmanned aerial vehicles. The incorporation of sophisticated sensors Technologies are crucial for improving navigation skills, and In this context, the use of Micro-Electro-Mechanical Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS) represent a state-of-the-art methodology. The foundation of this study is rooted on the ongoing issues encountered. by autonomous robots in situations that are subject to dynamic changes, Since traditional navigation systems often fail ensuring the necessary precision and flexibility [1].

Obstacles in traversing erratic landscapes and addressing changing barriers highlights the need for novel solutions. The current literature indicates a deficiency in research. especially in the cohesive incorporation of MEMS sensors, which

provide a concise and energy-efficient substitute for traditional transducers. The task is to develop a reliable sensor fusion. framework that optimizes the capabilities of MEMS sensors, thereby mitigating the constraints inherent in conventional navigation techniques [2].

In examining the domain of autonomous navigation and Sensor fusion encompasses several pertinent studies that provide significant insights. and viewpoints. Previous study has explored many methods for improving navigational precision and flexibility, establishing the foundation for the current research. A significant entity of the research focuses on conventional sensor fusion techniques, utilizing a synthesis of inertial sensors and visual systems. Although successful in regulated settings, these methodologies often encounter challenges with real-time flexibility in changing environments environment [3].

Another avenue of investigation explores the incorporation of MEMS. sensors utilized for navigational objectives. These studies acknowledge the diminutive dimensions and little energy use of MEMS devices, highlighting their capacity to surmount the constraints related featuring more

^{1,2,3,4}International School Of Technology And Sciences
For Women, A.P, India.

substantial sensor systems. The use of MEMS The use of accelerometers and gyroscopes has been investigated for data acquisition. Subtle motion data, establishing a basis for our inquiry regarding their aggregate efficacy[4].

Moreover, new studies underscore the importance of sophisticated algorithms in sensor fusion, with the objective of intelligently Integrate and synthesize data from various sensors. Artificial intelligence using algorithmic learning methods, including neural networks, have been integrated to Augment the decision-making proficiency of autonomous systems. These results highlight the need for an advanced algorithmic framework using MEMS-enhanced sensor fusion [5].

Notwithstanding these achievements, a large research gap persists. on the seamless incorporation of MEMS sensors into a cohesive foundation for autonomous navigation. Limited works conduct an extensive examination of MEMS technology transformational capacity in confronting the issues presented by ever-changing surroundings. This study aims to address this gap. by introducing an innovative methodology for MEMS-enhanced sensors fusion, providing a comprehensive solution for autonomous navigation in practical situations [6].

The current techniques for autonomous navigation encounter many constraints, particularly about their capacity to adjust to evolving and erratic conditions. Conventional sensor integration methods often fail to provide real-time precision and Adaptability impedes the performance of autonomous robots.

The objective is to close the research gap and tackle these constraints by seamlessly integrating Micro-Electro- Integration of Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS) sensors into a cohesive sensor fusion framework. The significance of this discovery resides in the creation of an innovative technique, MEMS-Enhanced Sensor Fusion (MEMS-ESF), which adeptly integrates data from MEMS Devices such as accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers. The MEMS-ESF methodology addresses current constraints, improving the precision and efficacy of autonomous navigation in dynamic environments settings, and demonstrates applicability in practical scenarios, consequently rendering a substantial contribution to the domain of self-governing robots.

The main aims of this investigation are the formulation of a holistic approach for the integration

of MEMS

sensors, including accelerometers and gyroscopes magnetometers integrated into an autonomous navigation system. The objective aims to provide a framework that effectively addresses the difficulties presented by changing situations but also improves the overall Precision and reactivity of the autonomous robot. The innovation of this study is in the strategic use of MEMS. technology as a transformational factor in sensor fusion, facilitating a pathway towards a more dependable and efficient navigation framework. The implications of this work transcend theoretical boundaries. Improvements, since it provides pragmatic insights on the implementation of MEMS-augmented sensor fusion for self-directed navigation. By tackling the recognized research this paper addresses the existing gap in the continuing conversation on autonomous robots and lays the groundwork for future developments advancements in the domain.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolution of robotic sensing has seen many revolutionary stages. During the 1960s, the first industrial robots, such as Unimation's UNIMATE, used rudimentary position sensors and mechanical switches for fundamental pick-and-place tasks. By 1970, sensors including six degrees of freedom were included into robotic systems, signifying a significant increase in robotic control capabilities. Historical records indicate that these early robotic systems attained positional accuracies of roughly $\pm 1.0\text{mm}$, which was groundbreaking for that era [3]. Computer vision in robotics originated in the late 1970s and early 1980s, with pioneering systems that used binary thresholding and edge detection for object identification. The Shakey robot project of SRI International (1966-1972) exemplified one of the first applications of computer vision in mobile robotics, using a television camera and range finder for navigation purposes. The development of increasingly complex algorithms in the 1980s, including refined feature extraction techniques, allowed robots to attain identification accuracies of up to 85% in controlled settings [4].

The 1990s saw two significant advancements in robotic sensing technology. The advent of SLAM techniques transformed robot navigation and environmental mapping. Secondly, force-torque sensors were included into robotic systems, facilitating accurate force regulation in assembly operations. Research from this time indicates that

these developments lowered positioning mistakes in automated assembly activities by as much as 60% relative to prior systems [7].

The early 2000s signified the commencement of the deep learning epoch in robotics. Convolutional Neural Networks shown remarkable efficacy in object identification tasks, with first systems attaining accuracy rates over 90% on standardized datasets. This era witnessed the emergence of RGB-D cameras and advanced LiDAR systems, markedly improving the perceptual abilities of robots [8].

The 2010s marked a significant advancement in robotic sensing due to the emergence of sensor fusion technologies and real-time processing capabilities. The amalgamation of many sensor modalities facilitated a more comprehensive comprehension of the environment. The advent of transformer topologies in 2017 was a significant milestone, establishing the groundwork for contemporary generative AI applications in robotics. Research from this era indicated that multi-modal sensor fusion might enhance object identification accuracy by as much as 40% relative to single-sensor systems [5].

Recent advancements in the 2020s have focused on generative AI and sophisticated neural networks. These systems have shown exceptional proficiency in managing unstructured settings and intricate tasks, with some implementations achieving success rates of up to 97% in demanding manipulation tasks [6]. This transition from basic, reactive systems to advanced, AI-driven platforms signifies a significant transformation in robotic sensing capabilities and perpetuates innovation in the domain.

HUMAN ROBOT COLLABORATION

Collaborative robots, or cobots, are designed to operate alongside people in industrial settings without the need for additional safety apparatus. The objective is to provide secure and adaptable interactions between people and robots by using developments in robotic sensors (Gross (Schreitter) and Krenn 2023). Chandrasekaran and Conrad (2015) illustrate a diverse array of application domains for human-robot cooperation.

L. Wang et al. (2019) provides a comprehensive analysis of symbiotic human-robot collaborative assembly. This work elucidates and emphasizes the meanings of concepts such as cooperation, interaction, and cohabitation. Furthermore, Matheson et al. (2019) contrasts HRC

implementations with conventional methods for cost factors. As human-robot cooperation becomes more prevalent in modern times, safety issues have emerged as a significant issue in this field. Galin and Meshcheryakov (2020) provide a safety viewpoint on human-robot cooperation.

HUMAN AND GESTURE RECOGNITION

Gestures play a key part in human connection. Humans execute many motions daily, sometimes unconsciously. Gestures are empirically shown to enhance communication and facilitate engagement among individuals. Similarly, facilitating human-robot connection and cooperation is achievable via gestures. Bremner and Leonards (2015) highlight the significance of gestures in both human-to-human communication and human-robot interaction. In this context, employing human gestures is a prevalent approach in HRC cases. Mitra and Acharya (2007) categorize gesture types into three classifications:

- Body gestures: comprehensive bodily movements or activities

Manual and arm gestures: arm positions, hand movements

- Craniofacial gestures: nodding or moving the head, winking, and lip movements.

In addition to human skeleton and gesture detection, the detection of the human hand and its gestures is regarded as a perceptual method for human-robot cooperation.

Z. Xia et al. (2019) provides an in-depth analysis of hand gesture recognition, including methodologies, performance metrics, and associated problems. Gesture recognition is a comprehensive and interdisciplinary subject including topics such as computer vision, machine learning, human-computer interaction, and robotics. Consequently, several implementation strategies exist in gesture recognition. Gesture recognition may be classified into two primary categories depending on the kind of physical implementation: image-based and sensor-based systems.

SENSOR BASED APPROACHES

In addition to image-based identification, human motions may also be detected via physical sensors integrated into the body. In their 2018 multi-modality study, Jiang et al. used Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) sensors to monitor human gestures.

Researchers focused on delineating and identifying basic motions especially associated with HRC.

These gestures were activated by specific movements of the right arm, where the IMU sensors are positioned. Vrigkas, Nikou, and Kakadiaris (2015) provide an extensive analysis of contemporary advancements in the area of human activity recognition. Furthermore, the issue of human activity recognition is classified into two areas depending on the use of distinct modalities.

Image Based Approaches

To execute image-based gesture recognition, a camera must be installed in the experimental area. Image-based methodologies may use a single camera, stereo camera, depth camera, or markers for robotic perception. H. Liu and Lihui Wang (2018) elucidate various implementation approaches and their applications, while detailing the merits and downsides of these methods.

Gesture recognition comprises five stages: sensor data acquisition, gesture detection, gesture tracking, gesture categorization, and gesture mapping.

PROPOSED MEMS ARCHITECTURE

The suggested technology involves the integration of MEMS sensors into a unified sensor fusion framework, with the objective of enhancing the autonomous navigation capabilities of robots. The approach progresses through certain steps, beginning with the selection and implementation of MEMS devices, such as accelerometers, gyroscopes, and magnetometers.

- During the preliminary stage, unprocessed data from individual MEMS sensors is collected, documenting complex aspects of the robot's movement and orientation. This dataset serves as the fundamental input for the ensuing sensor fusion method. A crucial aspect of the proposed technique is the strategic use of sophisticated algorithms that synchronize the various data streams generated by MEMS sensors.
- The fusion algorithm adeptly integrates data from accelerometers, yielding insights into linear motion; gyroscopes, supplying information on angular velocity; and magnetometers, enabling orientation relative to the Earth's magnetic field. The integration of these components facilitates the development of a thorough depiction of the robot's spatial dynamics.
- The proposed method employs adaptive filtering techniques to reduce noise and improve the accuracy of the sensor data. This step is crucial for ensuring that the integrated information precisely represents the robot's movements in real-time, thereby

enhancing the reliability of the navigation system.

- The sensor fusion output is then input into the navigation control system, enabling the autonomous robot to make intelligent choices based on a comprehensive awareness of its surroundings. This comprehensive methodology, using MEMS sensors and sophisticated fusion algorithms, signifies a groundbreaking paradigm in autonomous navigation, bridging current deficiencies and expanding the limits of practical flexibility for robotic systems.

METHODOLOGY

Research Methodology

This thesis employs a structured process for its research methods. The aims of the research. The process consists of the following steps: Investigation of Contemporary Sensor Modalities The first phase of this study included a comprehensive evaluation and analysis of the present cutting-edge sensor technologies. This phase sought to identify and select the most Appropriate sensor modalities for the multi-modal framework and sensor fusion. The The selected modalities include OpenPoseLearner for gesture recognition and Vosk for voice processing.

Recognition and Detectron2 detector for object detection. The selection was predicated on their efficacy and alignment with the study aims.

Evaluating Chosen Sensor Modalities

The chosen sensor modalities are OpenPoseLearner, Vosk, and Detectron Detector. were thereafter subjected to a series of evaluations. Throughout this evaluation process, their performance, Accuracy and reliability were evaluated across many circumstances. Their appropriateness the integration into the multi-modal framework was dictated by the outcomes of these examinations.

Development of a Multi-modal Framework and Fusion Methodology Having confirmed the sensor modalities, the subsequent stage is to design and develop. A multi-modal framework. This framework was created to enable the integration from the acquired data and information derived from the chosen sensor modalities. The amalgamation the methodology included the amalgamation of outputs from gesture recognition and voice recognition. And object recognition. Particular emphasis must be placed on guaranteeing data Integration and synchronization.

Evaluating the Multi-modal Framework in an Industrial Application

To assess the practical usability of the established multi-modal framework, it was evaluated in an industrial application. The selected use case pertained to an assembly. Assignment involving a diesel engine, which included select, put, and deliver responsibilities. This The real-world situation facilitated the evaluation of the framework's efficacy in a realistic context. Data pertaining to efficiency, accuracy, and usability were gathered and evaluated to assess the efficacy of the multi-modal strategy. This study seeks to provide significant contributions via a systematic methodology. analysis of the amalgamation of many sensor modalities for industrial applications, especially in manufacturing operations that include intricate interactions between people and mechanisms.

Data Collection

Numerous datasets exist for object identification and pose estimation. accessible. These datasets function as essential resources for training and assessment. Models in the domains of object identification and posture estimation. Presented below are several examples:

- Pascal VOC (Everingham et al. 2010): This dataset is often used for Two-dimensional object detection tasks. It offers a diverse array of item classifications and labeled boundary boxes.
- COCO (Lin, Maire, et al. 2014): A widely used dataset for two-dimensional object identification. The Common Objects in Context dataset provides an extensive compilation. Pictures including accurate item annotations, encompassing segmentation masks and Essential elements.
- Objectron (Ahmadyan et al. 2021): This dataset is dedicated to 3D object identification. It comprises photographs and videos recorded from various perspectives, offering Three-dimensional bounding box annotations for diverse items.
- T-LESS (Hodan et al. 2017): This dataset is intended for 3D object recognition. Detection and six-dimensional pose estimation. It comprises pictures and point clouds of items in several disordered environments, accompanied with comments for each thing Facial coverings and exact six-dimensional orientations.
- DOTA (G.-S. Xia et al. 2018): This dataset is designed for aerial detection. mages encompassing 15 distinct item types.

Sensor fusion in HRC

In recent years, the domain of robotics has seen a significant revolution, transitioning from conventional tactics to cognitive, adaptive, and adaptable systems using sensor-based methodologies. At the core of this transformation are sensor modalities and fusion solutions. These modalities and solutions enable robots to see, process, and operate autonomously and confidently in the workplace. Currently, sensor multi-modality and fusion are essential in several sectors, including healthcare systems and wearable devices.

Force and torque sensors have been used for several years across various sectors including machine applications (Sörnmo et al. 2012) and assembly jobs (Stolt et al. 2011). Contact with manipulators is unavoidable in these workplaces. Consequently, force and torque sensors assist the robot in recognizing when physical contact poses a risk to both the user and the robot. Inertial measuring units and accelerometers are often favored in certain specialized domains. Furthermore, optical cameras are among the most renowned perception devices in robotics. Pose estimation, object identification, and several other visual perception models use unprocessed camera pictures. Speech recognition has become a favored method in sensor-based robotics applications.

Sensor fusion is a methodology that integrates signals from many sources. enabling the extraction of information from diverse sources and their integration into a unified signal or data (Sasiadek 2002). An application that employs sensor fusion in its process, expects that system to be more beneficial than a single sensor system. According to Elmenreich 2002, some general problems with physical sensor measurement can be:

- Sensor Deprivation: When a sensor element malfunctions, it leads to a decrease in the ability to perceive the intended object.
- Constrained Sensor Range: Typically, an individual sensor can only cover a specific area. For instance, a thermometer on a boiler provides a temperature estimate only for its immediate vicinity, potentially failing to accurately represent the average water temperature within the entire boiler.

CONCLUSION

The suggested MEMS-ESF approach presents a viable alternative for autonomous navigation in dynamic situations. The use of MEMS sensors, a

sophisticated fusion algorithm, and adaptive filtering demonstrates substantial improvements in essential performance measures. The MEMSESF method consistently surpasses current sensor fusion, adaptive filtering, and machine learning techniques over several rounds. The improvements in navigation accuracy, reaction time, area occupancy, memory consumption, and power efficiency highlight the efficacy of the MEMS-ESF technique. The sophisticated fusion algorithm's capacity to adeptly integrate data from MEMS sensors, together with adaptive filtering, enhances decision-making and reduces computing burden. The characteristics provide the MEMS-ESF approach a resilient and versatile solution, especially advantageous for applications necessitating real-time navigation in dynamic and difficult situations. The reduced memory and power consumption render it appropriate for resource-limited systems, hence augmenting the overall efficiency and longevity of autonomous robotic platforms.

Leveraging the success of the MEMS-ESF approach, next research avenues may investigate the following domains to enhance autonomous navigation in robots. The use of supplementary sensor modalities, such LiDAR and depth cameras, may improve environmental perception and obstacle recognition. Secondly, the use of self-learning processes into the fusion algorithm enables the system to adapt and change over time, potentially enhancing navigation skills significantly. Finally, examinations of real-world applications of the MEMS-ESF method in fields such as search and rescue, agriculture, and healthcare can yield significant insights into its practical execution and efficacy in varied contexts. These forthcoming initiatives possess the potential to further transform the domain of autonomous robotics.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yi Yang et al., "Neuromorphic electronics for robotic perception, navigation and control: A survey," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 126, Part A, Nov. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0952197623010229>.
- [2] Shengshun Duan, Qiongfeng Shi, and Jun Wu, "Multimodal Sensors and ML-Based Data Fusion for Advanced Robots," *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://advanced.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/aisy.202200213>
- [3] Shuochao Yao et al., "Model Compression for Edge Computing," *Artificial Intelligence for Edge Computing*, 2023, pp. 153-195. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376742365_Model_Compression_for_Edge_Computing.
- [4] MarketsandMarkets, "Industrial Robotics Market by Type (Articulated, SCARA, Cartesian, Collaborative, and Others), Application (Welding, Material Handling, Painting, Assembly, and Others), Industry (Automotive, Electrical & Electronics, Metal & Machinery, and Others), and Region - Global Forecast to 2028," *Markets and Markets*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/Industrial-Robotics-Market-643.html>
- [5] María Teresa Ballestar, et al., "Impact of Robotics on the Workforce: A Longitudinal Machine Learning Perspective," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 162, Jan. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0040162520311744>.
- [6] Longfei Zhou, et al., "Computer Vision Techniques in Manufacturing," *Research Gate Publication*. 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356971633_Computer_Vision_Techniques_in_Manufacturing.
- [7] Niko Sünderhauf, et al., "The Limits and Potentials of Deep Learning for Robotics," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0278364918770733>.
- [8] Surendra Kumar Sharma, et al., "A Comparative Analysis of Feature Detectors and Descriptors for Image Stitching," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 10, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/10/6015>.
- [9] Nico Klingler, "AlexNet: A Revolutionary Deep Learning Architecture," *Viso.ai*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://viso.ai/deep-learning/alexnet/>.